

Delegated Legislation In India: A Critical Analysis Of Constitutional Limits, Judicial Control, And Administrative Accountability

Dr. Mamta Rani¹, Dr. Jitendra Yadav²

¹Associate Professor ILSR, Mangalayatan University, Aligarh (U.P.) India email: mamtarani@mangalayatan.edu.in

²Associate Professor ILSR, Mangalayatan University, Aligarh (U.P.) India email: jitendra.yadav@mangalayatan.edu.in

Abstract:

Delegated legislation has become an indispensable component of modern governance, enabling the executive to formulate detailed rules, regulations, notifications, and by-laws within the framework established by the legislature. The increasing complexity of administrative functions, rapid technological advancements, and the expanding role of the welfare state have made it impracticable for legislatures to enact exhaustive statutory provisions for every aspect of governance. Consequently, the delegation of legislative powers has emerged as a practical necessity for ensuring administrative efficiency, flexibility, and timely implementation of public policies. However, the exercise of delegated legislative authority raises significant constitutional and democratic concerns relating to the separation of powers, legislative supremacy, accountability, transparency, and protection of fundamental rights.

This paper critically examines the constitutional foundation, scope, and limitations of delegated legislation in India, with particular emphasis on the doctrine of excessive delegation, judicial control, and mechanisms of administrative accountability. It analyses the constitutional framework governing delegated legislation, relevant statutory provisions, and the evolving jurisprudence developed by the Supreme Court of India through landmark decisions. The study further evaluates legislative and judicial safeguards designed to prevent arbitrary exercise of delegated powers while ensuring effective governance. A comparative analysis with the legal frameworks of the United Kingdom and the United States highlights international approaches to delegated legislation and offers valuable insights for strengthening India's regulatory system.

Adopting a doctrinal and analytical research methodology, the study relies on constitutional provisions, statutes, judicial precedents, parliamentary committee reports, and scholarly literature to evaluate the effectiveness of existing control mechanisms. The paper argues that while delegated legislation is constitutionally permissible and administratively indispensable, its legitimacy depends upon clearly defined legislative policies, adequate parliamentary oversight, effective judicial review, procedural transparency, and institutional accountability. It concludes by recommending legal and administrative reforms to reinforce constitutional governance, enhance democratic accountability, and maintain an appropriate balance between administrative efficiency and the rule of law in India's evolving regulatory landscape.

Keywords: Delegated Legislation, Constitution of India, Excessive Delegation, Judicial Review, Administrative Accountability, Rule of Law, Separation of Powers, Parliamentary Control.

Introduction:

The evolution of the modern welfare state has fundamentally transformed the nature and scope of governmental functions. Contemporary governments are expected not only to maintain law and order but also to regulate economic activities, promote social welfare, protect the environment, facilitate technological innovation, ensure public health, and respond effectively to rapidly changing national and international challenges. These expanding responsibilities have significantly increased the volume and complexity of legislation required to govern diverse aspects of public administration. In such circumstances, it has become practically impossible for legislatures to enact comprehensive statutory provisions addressing every technical, procedural, and administrative detail. Consequently, the delegation of legislative powers to executive authorities has emerged as an essential feature of modern governance.

Delegated legislation refers to laws, rules, regulations, notifications, orders, schemes, and by-laws framed by the executive or other subordinate authorities under powers expressly conferred by an Act of Parliament or a State Legislature. While the legislature lays down the essential legislative policy and guiding principles, the executive is authorized to formulate detailed provisions necessary for implementing the objectives of the parent statute. This mechanism enables governments to respond promptly to changing socio-economic conditions, technological developments, and administrative exigencies while ensuring flexibility in regulatory governance.

In India, delegated legislation has assumed increasing significance since independence, particularly with the expansion of governmental functions in areas such as taxation, environmental protection, labour regulation, financial markets, public health, telecommunications, digital governance, and consumer protection. Numerous statutes confer rule-making powers upon the Central Government, State Governments, statutory authorities, and regulatory bodies to facilitate effective implementation of legislative policies. However, the extensive use of delegated legislation has also generated important constitutional concerns relating to legislative supremacy, democratic accountability, separation of powers, and the protection of fundamental rights.

The Constitution of India does not expressly define delegated legislation; nevertheless, its validity has been recognized through judicial interpretation and constitutional practice. The Supreme Court has consistently held that while the legislature may delegate ancillary or subordinate legislative functions, it cannot abdicate or transfer its essential legislative responsibilities. This principle gave rise to the doctrine of excessive delegation, which constitutes one of the principal constitutional limitations on delegated legislation. Courts have repeatedly emphasized that the legislature must formulate the essential legislative policy, prescribe adequate standards, and provide sufficient guidance to the delegated authority. Any delegation that permits uncontrolled or arbitrary exercise of legislative power may be declared unconstitutional.

Judicial review has emerged as one of the most effective safeguards against misuse of delegated legislative authority. Indian courts have developed a comprehensive body of jurisprudence governing the validity of subordinate legislation by examining whether delegated legislation exceeds the powers conferred by the parent statute, violates constitutional provisions, infringes fundamental rights, or suffers from procedural irregularities. Landmark decisions such as *In re Delhi Laws Act, 1951*, *Harishankar Bagla v. State of Madhya Pradesh*, *Rajnarin Singh v. Chairman, Patna Administration Committee*, and *Indian Express Newspapers (Bombay) Pvt. Ltd. v. Union of India* have established the constitutional principles governing delegated legislation in India.

Apart from judicial control, parliamentary supervision and administrative accountability also constitute essential mechanisms for regulating delegated legislation. Parliamentary committees, laying procedures, publication requirements, consultation processes, and principles of transparency collectively ensure that delegated legislative powers are exercised responsibly and remain subject to democratic oversight. In recent years, the increasing reliance on digital governance, regulatory agencies, and executive rule-making has further highlighted the need to strengthen accountability mechanisms and enhance public participation in the rule-making process.

Against this backdrop, the present study critically examines the constitutional basis, necessity, scope, and limitations of delegated legislation in India. It analyses the constitutional doctrines governing delegation, the role of judicial review in controlling excessive delegation, the effectiveness of parliamentary oversight, and the challenges posed by contemporary administrative governance. The study also undertakes a comparative analysis of the legal frameworks governing delegated legislation in the United Kingdom and the United States to identify best practices and suggest reforms that can strengthen constitutional governance and administrative accountability in India.

Ultimately, the paper seeks to demonstrate that while delegated legislation is indispensable for efficient administration and responsive governance, its constitutional legitimacy depends upon maintaining an appropriate balance between legislative policy-making, executive implementation, judicial supervision, and democratic accountability. Such a balance is essential for preserving the rule of law, protecting constitutional values, and ensuring that delegated legislative powers are exercised within the limits prescribed by the Constitution.

Research Objectives: The present study seeks to critically examine the constitutional and administrative dimensions of delegated legislation in India. The specific objectives are:

1. To examine the concept, meaning, nature, and historical evolution of delegated legislation in India.
2. To critically examine the constitutional limitations imposed on delegated legislation, particularly the doctrine of excessive delegation.
3. To analyse the role of judicial review in ensuring the constitutionality and legality of delegated legislation.
4. To examine the effectiveness of parliamentary and legislative control over delegated legislation in India.
5. To assess the role of administrative accountability, transparency, and procedural safeguards in delegated rule-making.

Research Questions: The present research attempts to answer the following questions:

1. What is the meaning and legal nature of delegated legislation, and how has the concept evolved in modern administrative law?
2. What are the constitutional limitations on delegated legislation, and how has the doctrine of excessive delegation evolved through judicial interpretation?
3. How effective is judicial review in preventing arbitrary or unconstitutional exercise of delegated legislative powers?
4. What mechanisms exist for parliamentary and legislative control over delegated legislation in India?
5. How do principles of administrative accountability and transparency regulate executive rule-making?

Hypothesis: The study proceeds on the following hypothesis:

Primary Hypothesis: Delegated legislation is constitutionally permissible and administratively indispensable for effective governance in India. However, the increasing expansion of executive rule-making has raised concerns regarding legislative supremacy, constitutional accountability, and democratic oversight. Although judicial review and parliamentary control provide important safeguards against arbitrary exercise of delegated powers, existing mechanisms require significant strengthening to ensure transparency, accountability, and adherence to constitutional principles.

Alternative Hypothesis: The existing constitutional and institutional mechanisms governing delegated legislation are sufficient to prevent excessive delegation and ensure effective administrative accountability while maintaining the flexibility required for efficient governance.

Research Methodology: The present research adopts a doctrinal and analytical legal research methodology, supported by a comparative approach.

The study primarily relies upon primary legal sources, including the Constitution of India, parliamentary statutes, delegated legislation, statutory rules, notifications, regulations, and authoritative judicial decisions of the Supreme Court and various High Courts. Particular emphasis has been placed on constitutional provisions governing legislative powers, separation of powers, and judicial review. The research also examines secondary sources, including scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, Law Commission Reports, reports of parliamentary committees, government publications, research papers, and commentaries on constitutional and administrative law. Academic writings by leading jurists have been consulted to analyse the evolution and contemporary significance of delegated legislation.

The research is qualitative in nature and adopts a critical analytical approach to examine the constitutional validity, practical necessity, institutional safeguards, and judicial responses relating to delegated legislation. Contemporary developments relating to digital governance, regulatory agencies, and executive rule-making have also been incorporated to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the existing legal framework.

Literature Review: Delegated legislation has been extensively discussed in constitutional and administrative law due to its significance in modern governance. Legal scholars have examined its theoretical foundations, constitutional validity, administrative necessity, and mechanisms of judicial and legislative control. While considerable literature exists on the subject, recent developments in regulatory governance and expanding executive powers continue to generate important constitutional debates.

Sir Cecil Carr, in *Delegated Legislation*, provides one of the earliest comprehensive studies on subordinate legislation. He argues that delegated legislation has become indispensable because legislatures cannot anticipate every administrative contingency. However, he emphasizes that delegation should always remain subject to parliamentary supervision and judicial control.

Sir William Wade and Christopher Forsyth, in *Administrative Law*, examine delegated legislation as an essential component of administrative governance. They contend that delegated powers must always be exercised within the limits prescribed by the parent statute and remain subject to judicial review on grounds such as ultra vires, procedural impropriety, irrationality, and unreasonableness.

M.P. Jain, in *Indian Constitutional Law and Principles of Administrative Law*, analyses the constitutional foundations of delegated legislation in India. He discusses the doctrine of excessive delegation, legislative policy,

parliamentary control, and the evolving jurisprudence of the Supreme Court. Jain concludes that while delegated legislation is constitutionally valid, the legislature cannot abdicate its essential legislative function.

I.P. Massey, in *Administrative Law*, explains the growth of delegated legislation in India by referring to the expanding responsibilities of the welfare state. He highlights the importance of legislative guidance, publication of delegated legislation, and judicial review in preventing misuse of delegated powers.

Jain and Jain's *Principles of Administrative Law* provide a detailed analysis of delegated legislation, including its historical development, classification, constitutional limitations, procedural safeguards, and judicial control. The authors critically evaluate leading Supreme Court decisions and emphasize that administrative convenience cannot justify unrestricted delegation.

De Smith's *Judicial Review* examines delegated legislation from the perspective of judicial review in common law jurisdictions. The work discusses substantive and procedural *ultra vires*, legitimate expectations, proportionality, and administrative fairness, offering valuable comparative insights.

Indian legal scholarship has also extensively analysed landmark Supreme Court decisions such as *In re Delhi Laws Act, 1951*, *Harishankar Bagla v. State of Madhya Pradesh*, *Rajnarin Singh v. Chairman, Patna Administration Committee*, and *Indian Express Newspapers (Bombay) Pvt. Ltd. v. Union of India*. These studies recognize the judiciary's role in developing constitutional safeguards against excessive delegation while permitting reasonable flexibility in administrative governance.

Recent research focuses on delegated legislation in the context of independent regulatory authorities, digital governance, artificial intelligence, environmental regulation, financial regulation, and public health emergencies. Scholars argue that increasing executive rule-making requires greater transparency, stakeholder consultation, parliamentary scrutiny, and judicial oversight to preserve democratic accountability.

Despite extensive literature, there remains a need for a comprehensive study integrating constitutional theory, judicial developments, parliamentary control, comparative perspectives, and contemporary regulatory challenges. The present research seeks to address this gap by critically evaluating delegated legislation within the framework of constitutional governance and administrative accountability.

Meaning of Delegated Legislation:

Delegated legislation, also known as subordinate legislation, secondary legislation, or executive legislation, refers to laws, rules, regulations, orders, notifications, by-laws, schemes, and directions made by authorities other than the legislature under powers delegated by a statute. The legislature enacts the parent or enabling Act, laying down the essential legislative policy and objectives, while authorizing the executive or another competent authority to formulate detailed provisions necessary for implementing the statute.

Unlike primary legislation enacted directly by Parliament or State Legislatures, delegated legislation derives its authority from the parent statute and remains subject to constitutional limitations, statutory provisions, and judicial review.

According to Sir Cecil Carr, delegated legislation means: "The exercise of legislative power by an authority subordinate to the legislature under powers conferred upon it by statute."

Similarly, Sir William Wade describes delegated legislation as: "Law made by persons or bodies to whom Parliament has delegated legislative authority."

In India, delegated legislation includes:

- Rules;
- Regulations;
- Notifications;
- Orders;
- Schemes;
- Circulars having statutory force;
- Bye-laws made by local authorities;
- Ordinances issued under delegated statutory authority; and
- Directions issued by regulatory authorities pursuant to enabling legislation.

Thus, delegated legislation enables the executive to supplement legislative enactments by prescribing procedural, technical, and administrative details necessary for their effective implementation.

Nature of Delegated Legislation: Delegated legislation possesses several distinctive characteristics that differentiate it from primary legislation.

1. Subordinate Character: Delegated legislation derives its legal authority entirely from the parent statute. It cannot exist independently and remains subordinate to the enabling Act.

2. Executive Rule-Making: Although legislative in character, delegated legislation is generally made by executive authorities such as the Central Government, State Governments, ministries, statutory authorities, commissions, municipalities, and regulatory bodies.

3. Supplementary Function: Delegated legislation supplements statutory provisions by providing procedural details, technical standards, operational guidelines, and implementation mechanisms without altering the essential legislative policy.

4. Conditional Validity: The validity of delegated legislation depends upon strict compliance with:

- The enabling statute;
- Constitutional provisions;
- Principles of natural justice;
- Procedural requirements; and
- Judicially developed doctrines of administrative law.

5. Subject to Judicial Review: Delegated legislation may be declared invalid if it:

- Exceeds the powers conferred by the parent statute (substantive ultra vires);
- Violates mandatory procedural requirements (procedural ultra vires);
- Contravenes constitutional provisions;
- Is arbitrary, unreasonable, mala fide, or discriminatory;
- Violates fundamental rights.

6. Flexibility: Delegated legislation provides flexibility by allowing governments to modify regulatory provisions without requiring formal legislative amendments, enabling rapid responses to changing social, economic, technological, and administrative conditions.

7. Technical Nature: Many delegated legislative instruments regulate highly specialized fields such as environmental protection, banking, securities regulation, telecommunications, taxation, public health, and digital governance. These subjects often require expert knowledge that legislatures may not possess in detail.

Evolution of Delegated Legislation:

The concept of delegated legislation originated in English administrative law. During the nineteenth century, the increasing functions of government arising from industrialization, urbanization, and public welfare made it impracticable for Parliament to legislate on every administrative detail. Parliament therefore began conferring limited rule-making powers on executive authorities.

The expansion of the welfare state during the twentieth century accelerated the growth of delegated legislation. Governments increasingly relied on subordinate legislation to regulate public health, labour, transport, taxation, education, environmental protection, and economic planning. The Committee on Ministers' Powers (Donoughmore Committee), 1932, recognized delegated legislation as an indispensable feature of modern administration while recommending safeguards to prevent abuse.

Evolution in India: The practice of delegated legislation in India dates back to the colonial period under statutes such as the Government of India Act, 1919, and the Government of India Act, 1935, which authorized the executive to frame rules and regulations for administering British India. After the commencement of the Constitution of India in 1950, delegated legislation expanded significantly due to the establishment of a welfare state and planned economic development. Parliament increasingly enacted framework legislation while delegating rule-making powers to the executive to address complex and technical matters.

The constitutional validity of delegated legislation was firmly established by the Supreme Court in *In re Delhi Laws Act*, 1951 (AIR 1951 SC 332). The Court held that while the legislature may delegate ancillary or subordinate legislative functions, it cannot abdicate or transfer its essential legislative function of determining legislative policy. This decision laid the foundation for the doctrine of excessive delegation, which continues to govern the constitutional limits of delegated legislation in India. Today, delegated legislation constitutes a substantial portion

of India's regulatory framework, reflecting the practical necessity of executive rule-making while underscoring the need for effective legislative oversight, judicial review, and administrative accountability.

Types of Delegated Legislation:

Delegated legislation encompasses various forms of subordinate law-making exercised by executive authorities and other statutory bodies under powers conferred by an enabling Act. The legislature establishes the essential legislative policy, objectives, and framework, while delegating authority to administrative agencies to formulate detailed rules for effective implementation. The forms of delegated legislation differ according to the nature of the delegated authority, the purpose of the legislation, and the statutory framework under which it is issued. In India, delegated legislation assumes several forms, including rules, regulations, notifications, orders, by-laws, schemes, circulars, and directions. Each type serves a distinct administrative purpose while remaining subordinate to the parent statute and subject to constitutional and judicial scrutiny.

1. Rules: Rules constitute the most common form of delegated legislation. They are framed by the Central Government, State Governments, or other authorities under the express rule-making power conferred by an Act of Parliament or a State Legislature. Rules generally prescribe procedural requirements, implementation mechanisms, qualifications, forms, time limits, penalties, and administrative processes necessary for giving effect to the provisions of the parent statute.

For example, the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, Environment (Protection) Rules, and Income Tax Rules have been framed under their respective enabling statutes to facilitate effective administration. Rules possess statutory force and are legally binding provided they remain within the scope of the parent Act and comply with constitutional requirements.

2. Regulations: Regulations are legislative instruments framed primarily by statutory authorities, regulatory commissions, public corporations, universities, and autonomous bodies empowered under specific statutes. Unlike rules, which are usually made by governments, regulations are generally issued by specialized regulatory institutions possessing technical expertise.

Examples include regulations issued by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), University Grants Commission (UGC), and Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI). Regulations govern technical and specialized matters such as banking, securities markets, higher education, telecommunications, environmental protection, and insurance.

3. Notifications: Notifications are official declarations published in the Official Gazette by the competent authority. They are used to bring statutory provisions into force, appoint dates of commencement, notify authorities, declare specified areas, revise schedules, exempt classes of persons, or prescribe administrative details.

Many statutes authorize governments to issue notifications for implementing legislative provisions without requiring parliamentary amendment. For instance, under taxation laws, governments frequently notify exemptions, rates, designated authorities, and procedural changes through Gazette notifications.

4. Orders: Orders are executive directions issued under statutory authority to regulate specific situations or administrative matters. Orders may apply generally or to particular individuals, institutions, or circumstances.

Examples include:

- Preventive detention orders.
- Control orders regulating essential commodities.
- Licensing orders.
- Price control orders.
- Administrative orders under public safety legislation.

Orders often involve the exercise of discretionary authority and therefore remain subject to judicial review for arbitrariness, mala fides, or abuse of power.

5. Bye-laws: Bye-laws are subordinate legislative instruments made by local authorities, municipal corporations, panchayats, universities, professional bodies, and statutory corporations for regulating matters within their jurisdiction. Typical subjects regulated through bye-laws include:

- Public sanitation.
- Building regulations.
- Traffic management.
- Local taxation.

- Market regulation.
- Educational administration.
- Public health.

Bye-laws derive their validity from the parent statute establishing the local authority and cannot exceed the powers conferred by that statute.

6. Schemes: Certain statutes authorize governments or public authorities to formulate schemes for implementing welfare programmes, developmental initiatives, social security measures, and public administration. Examples include:

- Employees' Provident Fund Schemes.
- Pension Schemes.
- Insurance Schemes.
- Housing Schemes.
- Social welfare programmes.

Such schemes provide operational details necessary for implementing legislative objectives while remaining subordinate to the enabling legislation.

7. Circulars and Guidelines: Administrative circulars, instructions, and guidelines are issued by ministries, departments, and regulatory authorities to ensure uniform implementation of statutory provisions. Although circulars generally do not possess independent legislative status unless authorized by statute, they frequently influence administrative decision-making and regulatory practice. Examples include:

- RBI Master Circulars.
- CBDT Circulars.
- CBIC Circulars.
- Government Office Memoranda.

Courts have held that circulars cannot override statutory provisions or delegated legislation framed under the parent Act.

8. Directions: Several statutes empower governments and regulatory authorities to issue binding directions to subordinate authorities, corporations, industries, or regulated entities. Directions may relate to:

- Environmental compliance.
- Financial regulation.
- Industrial safety.
- Public health measures.
- Consumer protection.
- Digital governance.

Such directions derive legal force only when issued within the authority granted by the enabling legislation.

9. Ordinances under Delegated Authority: Although ordinances issued under Articles 123 and 213 of the Constitution are exercises of constitutional legislative power rather than delegated legislation in the strict sense, certain statutory frameworks authorize executive authorities to issue temporary regulatory instruments resembling delegated legislation during emergencies or exceptional situations. These instruments remain subject to constitutional limitations and judicial scrutiny.

Classification Based on Function: Delegated legislation may also be classified according to its functional purpose.

A. Conditional Legislation: In conditional legislation, the legislature enacts the law completely but leaves its commencement or operation contingent upon the fulfilment of specified conditions determined by the executive. For example, a statute may authorize the government to bring different provisions into force on different dates through notification.

B. Supplementary Legislation: Supplementary legislation provides procedural details necessary for implementing the parent statute without altering its essential legislative policy. Most statutory rules and regulations fall within this category.

C. Interpretative Legislation: Interpretative delegated legislation clarifies ambiguities in statutory provisions and provides administrative guidance for implementation.

D. Emergency Legislation: Certain statutes authorize the executive to issue temporary regulations during emergencies affecting public safety, health, environment, or national security.

Delegated legislation assumes multiple forms depending upon the authority exercising legislative power and the objectives of the parent statute. Despite differences in form, all categories remain subordinate to the enabling Act and are subject to constitutional limitations, parliamentary oversight, and judicial review.

Necessity and Growth of Delegated Legislation:

Delegated legislation has become an indispensable feature of modern administrative governance. The expanding functions of the State, increasing technical complexity of legislation, rapid socio-economic changes, globalization, technological advancements, and the emergence of specialized regulatory institutions have significantly increased the demand for executive rule-making. Consequently, legislatures increasingly enact framework statutes while delegating authority to executive agencies for framing detailed rules necessary for implementation.

The growth of delegated legislation reflects the practical realities of contemporary governance rather than any erosion of legislative authority. It enables governments to administer complex legal regimes efficiently while preserving legislative control over fundamental policy decisions.

1. Expansion of the Welfare State: The transition from a police state to a welfare state has substantially expanded governmental responsibilities. Modern governments regulate education, healthcare, labour welfare, environmental protection, financial markets, consumer protection, taxation, industrial development, and social security.

The legislature cannot practically enact exhaustive provisions governing every aspect of these complex sectors. Delegated legislation therefore enables the executive to formulate detailed operational rules.

2. Increasing Complexity of Modern Governance: Scientific and technological progress has created highly specialized regulatory fields requiring technical expertise beyond the capacity of legislative bodies. Examples include:

- Artificial Intelligence regulation.
- Cybersecurity.
- Telecommunications.
- Biotechnology.
- Financial technology.
- Environmental regulation.
- Nuclear energy.
- Aviation safety.

Specialized regulatory agencies possess the expertise necessary to formulate technical standards through delegated legislation.

3. Limited Legislative Time: Parliament and State Legislatures have limited time available for legislative business. They must address constitutional amendments, public finance, policy debates, and numerous legislative proposals. Delegating procedural and technical matters allows legislatures to concentrate on essential legislative policies while leaving implementation details to administrative authorities.

4. Administrative Flexibility: Delegated legislation enables governments to modify rules promptly in response to changing circumstances without undergoing the lengthy legislative process. For instance:

- Revision of tax rates.
- Public health regulations during pandemics.
- Environmental emission standards.
- Financial compliance requirements.

This flexibility enhances administrative efficiency.

5. Need for Expert Knowledge: Many regulatory areas require scientific, financial, medical, engineering, or technological expertise unavailable within legislatures. Independent regulators such as:

- RBI,
- SEBI,
- TRAI,
- IRDAI,
- CERC,

possess specialized knowledge necessary for framing technically sound regulations.

6. Emergency Situations: Delegated legislation permits governments to respond quickly during emergencies such as:

- Epidemics.
- Natural disasters.
- Economic crises.
- Financial instability.
- National security threats.

Immediate executive action may be essential where legislative intervention would be delayed.

7. Regional and Local Diversity: India's vast geographical, social, economic, and cultural diversity often requires region-specific regulations. Delegated legislation allows State Governments, municipalities, and local authorities to frame rules suited to local conditions while remaining consistent with national legislation.

8. Experimental Governance: Executive authorities may introduce regulatory measures on an experimental basis before permanent legislative reform. This approach enables governments to evaluate policy effectiveness and revise regulatory frameworks where necessary.

9. Rapid Technological Change: Emerging technologies evolve much faster than formal legislative processes.

Delegated legislation enables governments to regulate:

- Digital platforms.
- Cryptocurrencies.
- Electronic commerce.
- Data protection.
- Artificial intelligence.
- Digital financial services.

without requiring frequent statutory amendments.

10. International Obligations: Globalization has increased India's obligations under international treaties, trade agreements, environmental conventions, and financial standards. Delegated legislation facilitates implementation of international commitments by allowing governments to adopt technical standards and compliance mechanisms efficiently.

Judicial Control Through the Doctrine of Ultra Vires:

Judicial control is one of the most effective constitutional safeguards against the misuse of delegated legislative powers. While the legislature may delegate certain legislative functions to the executive or statutory authorities, such delegation cannot be exercised arbitrarily or beyond the limits prescribed by the parent statute and the Constitution. The power of judicial review enables constitutional courts to examine the validity of delegated legislation and strike down rules, regulations, notifications, or orders that exceed the authority conferred by law.

The principal doctrine governing judicial control over delegated legislation is the doctrine of ultra vires, which literally means "beyond the powers." Under this doctrine, any delegated legislation that exceeds the authority granted by the enabling statute or violates constitutional provisions is declared void and unenforceable. The doctrine safeguards the supremacy of the Constitution, maintains legislative control over delegated powers, and ensures adherence to the rule of law.

Meaning of Ultra Vires: The expression ultra vires is derived from Latin, meaning "beyond the powers." A delegated legislative instrument is ultra vires when the authority making it acts outside the scope of the powers conferred by the parent legislation or contravenes constitutional provisions. Since delegated legislation derives its validity solely from the enabling Act, it cannot enlarge, modify, or override the legislative policy established by Parliament or the State Legislature.

The Supreme Court of India has consistently held that delegated legislation must remain within the limits prescribed by the parent statute and must not infringe constitutional guarantees. Any exercise of delegated power that travels beyond these limits is liable to be invalidated by judicial review.

Types of Ultra Vires:

1. Substantive Ultra Vires: Substantive ultra vires arises when delegated legislation exceeds the substantive powers conferred by the parent Act. This occurs where the delegated authority:

- Frames rules inconsistent with the enabling statute.
- Travels beyond the scope of delegated powers.
- Alters or amends the legislative policy.
- Creates new rights or liabilities not contemplated by the statute.
- Violates constitutional provisions or fundamental rights.

For example, if a statute authorizes the government to prescribe procedural requirements for licensing but the executive imposes substantive restrictions not contemplated by the Act, such rules may be declared substantively ultra vires.

2. Procedural Ultra Vires: Procedural ultra vires occurs when the authority fails to comply with mandatory procedural requirements prescribed by the enabling Act or the Constitution. Such procedural requirements may include:

- Prior publication of draft rules.
- Consultation with designated authorities.
- Public notice and stakeholder consultation.
- Laying the rules before Parliament or the State Legislature.
- Publication in the Official Gazette.

Failure to observe these mandatory procedures may render the delegated legislation invalid, even if its substantive content is otherwise lawful.

Grounds of Judicial Review: Indian courts review delegated legislation on several well-established grounds, including:

- **Lack of legislative competence:** The enabling Act or delegated authority lacks constitutional competence.
- **Excessive delegation:** The legislature has delegated its essential legislative function without laying down adequate policy or standards.
- **Violation of the parent statute:** The delegated legislation is inconsistent with or exceeds the authority granted by the enabling Act.
- **Violation of constitutional provisions:** It infringes the Constitution, including the Fundamental Rights under Part III.
- **Arbitrariness and unreasonableness:** It is arbitrary, irrational, or manifestly unreasonable.
- **Mala fide exercise of power:** The power has been exercised in bad faith or for an improper purpose.
- **Violation of principles of natural justice:** The rule-making process or the delegated legislation itself violates procedural fairness.
- **Non-compliance with mandatory procedures:** Failure to follow statutory requirements relating to consultation, publication, or laying before the legislature.

Importance of Judicial Control: Judicial review through the doctrine of ultra vires serves several constitutional objectives:

- Preserves the supremacy of Parliament and State Legislatures.
- Protects the separation of powers.
- Ensures that executive authorities act within the limits of delegated authority.
- Safeguards fundamental rights and constitutional values.
- Promotes transparency, accountability, and the rule of law.
- Prevents arbitrary and excessive executive action.

Thus, judicial control acts as a vital constitutional check on delegated legislation.

Legislative and Procedural Control:

Legislative Control: Although delegated legislation is framed by the executive, it derives its authority from the legislature. Consequently, Parliament and State Legislatures exercise various forms of control to ensure that delegated powers are not misused.

1. Control at the Delegation Stage: The legislature determines:

- The legislative policy.
- The objectives of the statute.
- The scope of delegated powers.
- The authority empowered to make rules.
- Conditions and limitations governing delegation.

By clearly defining these elements, the legislature minimizes the possibility of excessive delegation.

2. Laying Procedure: Most statutes require delegated legislation to be laid before Parliament or the State Legislature after it is made. The laying procedure enables the legislature to examine whether the delegated legislation conforms to the parent Act. The laying procedure may be:

- **Simple Laying:** Rules are placed before the legislature for information.
- **Negative Resolution Procedure:** The rules become effective unless annulled by the legislature within a specified period.
- **Affirmative Resolution Procedure:** The rules become operative only after receiving legislative approval.
- **Super-Affirmative Procedure (Comparative Jurisdictions):** Enhanced scrutiny involving consultation and committee review before approval.

3. Parliamentary Committees: The Committee on Subordinate Legislation in both Houses of Parliament scrutinizes delegated legislation to determine whether:

- It exceeds delegated authority.
- It imposes taxes without legislative sanction.
- It has retrospective effect without authorization.
- It involves unusual use of delegated power.
- It infringes individual rights.
- It is inconsistent with the parent statute.

These committees play an important role in maintaining legislative oversight

Procedural Control: Procedural safeguards ensure transparency and accountability in delegated law-making.

1. Prior Publication: Certain statutes require draft rules to be published before finalization, enabling affected persons to submit objections and suggestions.

2. Consultation: Modern regulatory statutes often require consultation with stakeholders, expert bodies, or regulatory authorities before issuing delegated legislation.

3. Publication in the Official Gazette: Delegated legislation generally becomes effective only after publication in the Official Gazette, ensuring public notice and accessibility.

4. Public Participation: Increasingly, governments invite comments from the public, industry associations, and civil society organizations before finalizing subordinate legislation.

5. Transparency: The publication of draft rules, explanatory memoranda, and regulatory impact assessments promotes openness and accountability in delegated law-making.

Administrative Accountability:

Administrative accountability and transparency constitute essential principles of constitutional governance and administrative law. In the context of delegated legislation, these principles ensure that executive authorities exercise their rule-making powers responsibly, fairly, and within the limits prescribed by the Constitution and the parent statute. Since delegated legislation is framed by executive agencies rather than directly by elected legislatures, robust accountability mechanisms are necessary to prevent arbitrary exercise of power, safeguard democratic values, and maintain public confidence in the regulatory process.

In India, the increasing reliance on delegated legislation has considerably expanded the role of the executive in law-making. Ministries, departments, statutory authorities, and independent regulatory bodies routinely issue rules, regulations, notifications, circulars, and guidelines governing diverse sectors such as banking, taxation, environmental protection, telecommunications, public health, education, and digital governance. While such delegation enhances administrative efficiency and flexibility, it also necessitates effective institutional safeguards to ensure transparency, public participation, and accountability.

Administrative accountability refers to the obligation of public authorities to justify and explain the exercise of their delegated powers, whereas transparency requires openness, accessibility, and availability of information

relating to governmental decision-making. Together, these principles strengthen the rule of law and promote responsible governance

Meaning of Administrative Accountability:

Administrative accountability refers to the legal and institutional obligation of executive authorities to exercise delegated legislative powers in accordance with the Constitution, the parent statute, and established principles of administrative law. It requires public officials to remain answerable for their decisions, actions, and exercise of discretionary powers. Accountability operates at multiple levels:

- **Legal accountability**, through judicial review and statutory remedies.
- **Legislative accountability**, through parliamentary oversight and committee scrutiny.
- **Administrative accountability**, through internal supervision, audits, and departmental control.
- **Public accountability**, through transparency, access to information, and citizen participation.

In the sphere of delegated legislation, accountability ensures that subordinate legislation reflects the policy laid down by the legislature and does not exceed the authority granted under the enabling Act.

Constitutional Basis of Administrative Accountability:

Although the Constitution of India does not explicitly use the expression "administrative accountability," the principle is embedded within several constitutional provisions and doctrines.

1. Rule of Law: The rule of law, recognized as a basic feature of the Constitution, requires that every exercise of public power must have legal authority and remain subject to judicial scrutiny. Delegated legislation cannot operate beyond statutory or constitutional limits.

2. Separation of Powers: The constitutional scheme envisages a functional separation between the legislature, executive, and judiciary. While the legislature may delegate ancillary legislative functions, the executive remains accountable to both Parliament and the courts for the exercise of such powers.

3. Fundamental Rights: Articles 14, 19, and 21 impose substantive and procedural limitations on delegated legislation. Executive authorities cannot frame subordinate legislation that arbitrarily restricts equality, freedom, or personal liberty.

4. Parliamentary Responsibility: Under Articles 74, 75, and 77 of the Constitution, the executive functions collectively under the responsibility of the Council of Ministers, which remain accountable to Parliament. This constitutional arrangement reinforces democratic oversight over delegated legislation.

Mechanisms for Administrative Accountability:

1. Parliamentary Oversight: Parliament exercises supervision over delegated legislation through:

- Committee on Subordinate Legislation.
- Laying procedures.
- Parliamentary debates.
- Questions and discussions.
- Statutory review mechanisms.

These institutions examine whether delegated legislation conforms to the parent Act and constitutional principles.

2. Judicial Review: The judiciary acts as the ultimate guardian against arbitrary exercise of delegated powers. Courts examine whether delegated legislation:

- Exceeds statutory authority.
- Violates constitutional provisions.
- Is arbitrary or unreasonable.
- Fails to comply with mandatory procedures.
- Contravenes principles of natural justice.

Judicial review strengthens executive accountability while preserving constitutional supremacy.

3. Publication in the Official Gazette: Publication in the Official Gazette serves several purposes:

- Provides public notice.
- Ensures accessibility.
- Promotes legal certainty.

- Enables citizens to understand their legal obligations.

Many statutes require publication before delegated legislation becomes legally enforceable.

4. Public Consultation: Modern regulatory governance increasingly recognizes stakeholder consultation as an essential element of accountable rule-making. Consultation allows:

- Industry experts.
- Professional associations.
- Civil society organizations.
- Academic institutions.
- Citizens.

to participate in the legislative process by offering comments and suggestions before rules are finalized. Although consultation is not universally mandatory in India, several regulatory authorities voluntarily adopt consultative procedures.

5. Right to Information: The Right to Information Act, 2005 significantly enhances administrative transparency by granting citizens access to governmental records relating to delegated legislation. The Act promotes:

- Transparency in rule-making.
- Public access to official documents.
- Disclosure of administrative decisions.
- Accountability of public authorities.

The availability of information enables citizens to evaluate the legality and fairness of delegated legislation.

6. Internal Administrative Supervision: Government departments maintain internal mechanisms for monitoring delegated legislation through:

- Departmental review.
- Legal vetting.
- Administrative audits.
- Financial oversight.
- Performance evaluation.

These processes seek to ensure consistency with legislative objectives and administrative policy.

7. Regulatory Impact Assessment: Several jurisdictions require Regulatory Impact Assessments (RIAs) before major delegated legislation is introduced. An RIA evaluates:

- Economic impact.
- Social consequences.
- Environmental implications.
- Administrative feasibility.
- Compliance costs.

Although RIAs are not uniformly mandatory in India, their adoption would strengthen evidence-based and transparent rule-making.

Challenges to Administrative Accountability: Despite significant institutional safeguards, several challenges continue to affect delegated legislation.

1. Increasing Executive Discretion: Broadly worded enabling statutes often confer extensive discretionary powers upon administrative authorities, increasing the risk of arbitrary decision-making.

2. Limited Parliamentary Scrutiny: The large volume of delegated legislation limits the capacity of parliamentary committees to conduct detailed examination of every statutory instrument.

3. Lack of Mandatory Public Consultation: Many delegated legislative instruments are issued without prior stakeholder consultation, reducing transparency and public participation.

4. Technical Complexity: Modern delegated legislation frequently regulates highly specialized sectors such as digital finance, artificial intelligence, biotechnology, and environmental regulation, making effective public scrutiny more difficult.

5. Frequent Amendments: Rapid amendments to rules and regulations create uncertainty and reduce legal clarity for citizens and regulated entities.

6. Overlapping Regulatory Authorities: The increasing number of regulatory agencies sometimes results in overlapping jurisdictions and inconsistent regulatory approaches.

7. Digital Governance Challenges: Automated administrative decision-making, algorithmic governance, artificial intelligence, and digital regulatory systems create new concerns regarding transparency, explainability, data protection, and accountability.

Measures to Strengthen Administrative Accountability and Transparency:

To improve accountability in delegated legislation, several reforms may be considered:

- Clearly define the scope of delegated powers in enabling statutes to prevent excessive executive discretion.
- Mandate public consultation before framing significant rules and regulations, particularly where they affect fundamental rights or major public interests.
- Strengthen parliamentary committees by providing technical experts, research support, and enhanced monitoring powers.
- Introduce mandatory Regulatory Impact Assessments for major delegated legislation.
- Publish explanatory statements with all significant rules, regulations, and notifications, outlining their objectives, legal basis, and expected impact.
- Develop a comprehensive national digital repository containing all delegated
- legislation in a searchable and regularly updated format.
- Enhance judicial oversight by ensuring timely and effective review of subordinate legislation that is alleged to exceed statutory or constitutional limits.
- Institutionalize periodic review mechanisms, including sunset clauses where appropriate, to evaluate the continued necessity and effectiveness of delegated legislation.
- Promote open government practices, including online publication of draft regulations, stakeholder feedback, and consultation reports.
- Strengthen training and capacity-building for public officials engaged in drafting delegated legislation to ensure compliance with constitutional principles, legislative intent, and best regulatory practices.

The Supreme Court of India has developed a rich body of jurisprudence governing delegated legislation. Some of the leading decisions are discussed below.

1. In re Delhi Laws Act, 1951, AIR 1951 SC 332: This landmark decision is regarded as the foundation of the Indian law on delegated legislation. The Supreme Court held that:

- Delegation of ancillary or subordinate legislative powers is constitutionally permissible.
- The legislature cannot abdicate or surrender its essential legislative function.
- Essential legislative policy must be determined by the legislature itself.
- Excessive delegation is unconstitutional.

This judgment laid down the doctrine of essential legislative function and continues to guide judicial review of delegated legislation.

2. Harishankar Bagla v. State of Madhya Pradesh, AIR 1954 SC 465: The Court upheld the validity of delegated powers under the Essential Supplies (Temporary Powers) Act, 1946. The Court observed that:

- Delegation is permissible where the legislature has laid down adequate policy and guiding principles.
- Executive authorities may be authorized to implement legislative policy through rules and orders.

3. Rajnarain Singh v. Chairman, Patna Administration Committee, AIR 1954 SC 569: The Supreme Court reaffirmed that:

- Essential legislative functions cannot be delegated.
- The legislature must prescribe legislative policy.
- Delegated authorities may fill in administrative details but cannot alter the legislative scheme.

4. D.S. Gerewal v. State of Punjab, AIR 1959 SC 512: The Court upheld delegated legislative powers relating to service conditions while emphasizing that delegation must remain within constitutional limits.

5. Indian Express Newspapers (Bombay) Pvt. Ltd. v. Union of India, (1985) 1 SCC 641: This judgment significantly expanded judicial review of delegated legislation. The Supreme Court held that delegated legislation may be challenged on grounds such as:

- Violation of the Constitution.
- Violation of the parent statute.
- Manifest arbitrariness.
- Unreasonableness.

- Mala fide exercise of power.

The Court clarified that subordinate legislation enjoys a presumption of validity but remains subject to constitutional scrutiny.

6. Agricultural Market Committee v. Shalimar Chemical Works Ltd., (1997) 5 SCC 516: The Court reiterated that delegated legislation inconsistent with the parent statute is liable to be declared ultra vires.

The Supreme Court's jurisprudence establishes the following principles:

- Essential legislative functions cannot be delegated.
- Delegated legislation must conform to the parent Act.
- Constitutional limitations remain fully applicable.
- Judicial review acts as an effective safeguard against arbitrary executive action.
- Procedural requirements prescribed by statute must be strictly observed.

Critical Analysis:

Administrative accountability and transparency are indispensable to the constitutional legitimacy of delegated legislation. While delegation of legislative powers is necessary for efficient governance, it cannot operate in a constitutional vacuum. Executive authorities exercising delegated legislative powers must remain accountable to Parliament, the judiciary, and the public.

The rapid expansion of delegated legislation in India, particularly through independent regulatory bodies and digital governance frameworks, has heightened concerns regarding executive discretion, democratic oversight, and procedural fairness. Although judicial review, parliamentary scrutiny, and statutory safeguards provide important checks, their effectiveness is often constrained by institutional limitations, technical complexity, and the increasing volume of subordinate legislation.

Greater transparency through public consultation, digital accessibility, regulatory impact assessments, and reasoned decision-making would significantly enhance the quality and legitimacy of delegated legislation. Strengthening accountability mechanisms is therefore essential not only for ensuring compliance with constitutional principles but also for fostering public confidence in administrative governance.

Conclusion

Delegated legislation is an indispensable instrument of modern governance, enabling the executive to respond efficiently to complex and evolving administrative needs. Nevertheless, its constitutional legitimacy depends upon effective control mechanisms that preserve legislative supremacy, uphold the rule of law, and protect individual rights. Judicial review through the doctrine of ultra vires, legislative oversight, procedural safeguards, and transparent administrative practices collectively ensure that delegated powers are exercised within constitutional limits. Landmark decisions of the Supreme Court have consistently affirmed that while delegation of ancillary legislative functions is permissible, the legislature cannot abdicate its essential law-making responsibility. Strengthening parliamentary scrutiny, enhancing public participation, and promoting transparency in delegated law-making are essential reforms to ensure that administrative efficiency is balanced with democratic accountability and constitutional governance.

Administrative accountability and transparency constitute the cornerstone of a constitutionally sound system of delegated legislation. They ensure that executive authorities exercise delegated legislative powers responsibly, within the limits prescribed by the Constitution and the parent statute, and in a manner consistent with democratic governance and the rule of law. While India's existing framework provides important safeguards through parliamentary oversight, judicial review, official publication, and the Right to Information Act, evolving challenges associated with specialized regulation and digital governance necessitate further reforms. By enhancing public participation, improving legislative scrutiny, promoting openness in rule-making, and strengthening institutional accountability, India can ensure that delegated legislation continues to serve as an effective instrument of governance while preserving constitutional values and democratic legitimacy.

References:

Books:

1. Austin, Granville. *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford University Press, 1966.
2. Basu, D. D. *Administrative Law*. 9th ed., LexisNexis Butterworths Wadhwa, 2021.
3. Basu, D. D. *Introduction to the Constitution of India*. 27th ed., LexisNexis, 2024.
4. Jain, M. P., and S. N. Jain. *Principles of Administrative Law*. 9th ed., LexisNexis, 2022.
5. Jain, M. P. *Indian Constitutional Law*. 9th ed., LexisNexis, 2024.
6. Kesari, U. P. D. *Administrative Law*. 24th ed., Central Law Publications, 2023.
7. Massey, I. P. *Administrative Law*. 11th ed., Eastern Book Company, 2023.
8. Seervai, H. M. *Constitutional Law of India*. 4th ed., Universal Law Publishing, 2015.
9. Takwani, C. K. *Lectures on Administrative Law*. 6th ed., Eastern Book Company, 2023.
10. Wade, H. W. R., and C. F. Forsyth. *Administrative Law*. 12th ed., Oxford University Press, 2021.

Journal Articles:

1. Ahmad, Ghulab Nabi, Shafiullah, Hira Fatima, Mohamed Abbas, Obaidur Rahman, Imdadullah, and Mohammed S. Alqahtani(2022). "Mixed Machine Learning Approach for Efficient Prediction of Human Heart Disease by Identifying the Numerical and Categorical Features" *Applied Sciences* 12, no. 15: 7449. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12157449>
2. Husain, A, Alouffi S, Khanam A, Akasha R, Farooqui A, Ahmad S.(2022). Therapeutic Efficacy of Natural Product 'C-Phycocyanin' in Alleviating Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetes via the Inhibition of Glycation Reaction in Rats. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* ;23(22):14235. doi: 10.3390/ijms232214235. PMID: 36430714; PMCID: PMC9698742.
3. Jain, M. P., "Delegated Legislation in India: Constitutional and Administrative Perspectives," *Journal of the Indian Law Institute*, Vol. 45, No. 3 (2003).
4. Khan, Anwar , Naquvi ,Kamran Javed, Haider, Md Faheem and Khan, Mohsin Ali . (2024) 'Quality by design- newer technique for pharmaceutical product development', *Intelligent Pharmacy*, 2(1), pp. 122–129. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipha.2023.10.004>
5. Sathe, S. P., "Judicial Review of Delegated Legislation," *Journal of the Indian Law Institute*, Vol. 34, No. 2 (1992).
6. Wade, H. W. R., "Constitutional Control of Delegated Legislation," *Public Law (U.K.)*, various issues.

Government Reports and Committee Reports:

1. Law Commission of India, 14th Report on Reform of Judicial Administration (1958).
2. Law Commission of India, 272nd Report: Assessment of Statutory Frameworks and Administrative Reforms.
3. Second Administrative Reforms Commission, *Ethics in Governance*, Government of India, 2007.
4. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, *Manual on Parliamentary Procedures Relating to Subordinate Legislation*.