Research Article

Urban Livelihoods At The Crossroads: Assessing The Challenges And Opportunities Of Street Vendors In Chennai City

Suganya V[1], Dr.K.R. Rajendiran[2]

[1]Research scholar Department of Commerce Annamalai University.
[2]Assistant Professor PG & Research Department of Commerce Arignar Anna Arts and Science College Villupuram (Deputed to Govt Arts & Science College, Thirukovillur kallakurichi District) 605766. (Deputed from Annamalai University)

Abstract

This study evaluates the operational challenges, economic viability, and institutional opportunities of street vendors across the urban landscape of Chennai City, Tamil Nadu. While street vending serves as an indispensable pillar of the informal economy by generating self-employment and offering low-cost goods to urban consumers, vendors face structural vulnerabilities including eviction threats, informal extortion, regulatory ambiguity, and limited access to formal credit. Utilizing a stratified random sampling framework, primary data was gathered from 400 street vendors across key municipal zones of the Greater Chennai Corporation (GCC). The empirical framework applies a robust four-stage statistical testing architecture: the Chi-Square Test of Independence, Independent Samples t-test, One-Way ANOVA, and Multiple Linear Regression. The empirical results reveal significant disparities in vendor income and regulatory compliance, driven primarily by vending zone designation, gender, social category, and access to institutional credit, such as the PM SVANidhi scheme. The paper concludes with targeted policy recommendations aimed at transitioning from punitive regulation to an inclusive, rights-based urban governance framework under the Street Vendors Act.

Keywords: Street Vendors, Informal Economy, Chennai City, PM SVANidhi, Urban Governance, Livelihood Security.

Introduction

Street vending is a fundamental component of the urban economy in developing nations, providing vital self-employment opportunities and serving as an essential distribution network for affordable everyday consumer goods. In Chennai, the capital city of Tamil Nadu, street vendors occupy critical commercial nodes—ranging from major transport hubs like Central and Egmore to busy shopping corridors such as T. Nagar (Pondy Bazaar), George Town (NSC Bose Road), and Koyambedu Market.

To protect urban livelihoods while managing public space, the Parliament of India enacted the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act in 2014. Furthermore, programs like the PM Street Vendor's AtmaNirbhar Nidhi (PM SVANidhi) were launched to provide micro-credit support and foster digital transaction adoption. Despite these statutory frameworks, implementation bottlenecks persist at the municipal level. The Greater Chennai Corporation (GCC) faces ongoing challenges in balancing urban aesthetic development, traffic management, and vendor rights. This study investigates the operational realities of street vendors in Chennai to examine whether urban governance policies effectively safeguard vendor livelihoods or exacerbate informal urban precarity.

Need of the Study

While macro-level urban policies acknowledge the informal economy, micro-level evaluations of street vendors in specific metropolitan contexts often reveal severe implementation gaps:

- **Zone-Based Spatial Displacement:** Rapid urban modernization and infrastructure projects (such as Metro Rail expansion and pedestrian plaza constructions) frequently displace traditional vending clusters without establishing viable alternative vending zones.
- **The Formal Credit and Financial Divide:** Despite state-sponsored micro-credit initiatives, many vendors rely heavily on high-interest informal moneylenders (kandhu vatti) due to documentation requirements and procedural delays in formal banking channels.
- **Social and Gender Vulnerabilities:** Women vendors, who comprise a substantial portion of fruit, vegetable, and flower vendors in local markets, face heightened risks of harassment, lack of sanitation facilities, and unequal income distribution.

Research Gap

While extensive literature addresses informal employment in urban India generally, empirical research integrating parametric and non-parametric econometric modeling to assess street vendor livelihoods in Chennai remains sparse post-implementation of the Street Vendors Act (2014) and post-pandemic micro-credit schemes. Existing studies frequently treat vendors as a uniform group, neglecting variations based on product categories, spatial locations (vending vs. non-vending zones), and gender dynamics. This study addresses this gap by offering a quantitative analysis of vendor challenges and opportunities across Chennai City.

Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the socio-economic profile of street vendors across different administrative zones of Chennai City.
2. To evaluate the awareness, adoption, and impact of institutional credit mechanisms (PM SVANidhi) and municipal regulatory frameworks (Town Vending Committees) among street vendors.
3. To empirically analyze whether daily net income and regulatory harassment vary significantly across social categories, gender, and vending zone status.
4. To model the socio-economic determinants influencing the overall Livelihood Security Index (LSI) of street vendors in the study area.
5. To propose policy measures for integrating street vendors into Chennai's formal urban planning structures.

Scope of the Study

- **Geographic Scope:** The research covers major commercial hubs within the Greater Chennai Corporation, including Zone 5 (Royapuram/George Town), Zone 8 (Anna Nagar), Zone 9 (Teynampet/Pondy Bazaar), and Zone 10 (Kodambakkam/T. Nagar).
- **Analytical Scope:** Evaluates vendor performance across key dimensions: regulatory protection, access to formal credit (PM SVANidhi), digital payment adoption, and spatial security.
- **Demographic Focus:** Covers stationary and mobile vendors selling vegetables, fruits, apparel, street food, flower items, and household goods.

Limitations of the Study

- **Recall Bias:** Primary data on daily cash sales, informal payments, and operational costs rely on self-reported estimates by vendors.
- **Cross-Sectional Dataset:** The study captures a cross-sectional snapshot of vendor conditions and does not capture long-term seasonal fluctuations across festival cycles.
- **Local Context Constraints:** The findings reflect the specific administrative and spatial dynamics of Chennai City and may not fully generalize to non-metropolitan urban centers.

Review of Literature

- **Bhowmik (2005):** Examined street vending across Asian metropolises, emphasizing that vendors are essential providers of low-cost goods and critical engines of the urban informal economy. The study highlighted systemic vulnerabilities, showing that vendors endure routine administrative harassment, extortion, and deep-seated spatial rights insecurity. Bhowmik criticized municipal governance for excluding vendors from master planning, framing them as urban nuisances rather than legitimate economic actors. He advocated for legal recognition, spatial integration, and organized vendor unions to protect livelihoods against aggressive state clearance drives.
- **Anjaria (2006):** Investigated the spatial politics of street hawking in Mumbai, detailing how urban planning privileges elite, modern aesthetics over informal livelihoods. The analysis revealed that municipal governance routinely frames hawkers as "eyesores" or traffic threats to justify evictions in favor of pristine consumer spaces. Anjaria argued that street hawkers face a predatory state apparatus that relies on bribes and coercive demolitions rather than inclusive regulation. The paper emphasized that hawkers serve an essential civic function by animating public spaces and enhancing urban security, calling for democratic spatial governance.
- **Sanyal (2007):** Evaluated informal capital accumulation networks, illustrating how structural barriers in formal banking systematically exclude urban micro-enterprises. Due to stringent collateral demands and complex paperwork, street vendors are forced to rely on informal, high-interest credit systems to sustain inventory. Sanyal framed this reliance on informal finance not as backwardness, but as a survival strategy within post-colonial capitalism. The study demonstrated that without access to institutional credit, micro-enterprises remain trapped in low-capital, high-vulnerability operational cycles.
- **Narayanan (2012):** Evaluated the spatial "right to the city" in Chennai, focusing on hawker evictions across major commercial nodes like Pondy Bazaar. The research demonstrated that forced vendor removals disrupt long-standing informal trade networks and severe ties between hawkers and regular customers. Narayanan showed how municipal beautification

projects and traffic management initiatives prioritize middle-class vehicular mobility at the expense of working-class livelihoods. The study highlighted the need for participatory spatial planning that recognizes street vendors' historic claims to urban commercial spaces.

- **Saha (2011):** Assessed the occupational health risks and working conditions of urban street vendors across Indian cities. The findings documented that vendors work long, arduous hours under severe weather conditions without access to basic public amenities like clean drinking water, shade, or toilets. These environmental exposure hazards, coupled with heavy lifting and poor sanitation, lead to chronic musculoskeletal disorders, respiratory illnesses, and heat stress. Saha emphasized that municipal neglect of basic infrastructure transforms everyday street vending into a high-risk occupation.
- **Bhowmik & Saha (2012):** Analyzed financial inclusion dynamics among urban street vendors, identifying a total absence of institutional banking support. To meet daily working capital requirements, vendors are forced to borrow from local moneylenders at exorbitant, compounding interest rates (kandhu vatti). This dependency creates a predatory debt trap where daily sales profits are absorbed almost entirely by interest payments, leaving vendors with negligible net income. The authors advocated for tailored micro-finance products, doorstep banking, and flexible daily repayment schedules to break the cycle of debt bondage.
- **Chen (2012):** Critiqued traditional approaches to formalizing informal employment, arguing that top-down relocation and simple taxation fail to protect workers. The study emphasized that genuine formalization requires secure spatial rights, legal recognition, comprehensive social protection, and active representation in local governance. Chen highlighted that street vendors provide crucial, low-cost goods that lower living expenses for urban populations. The paper urged city planners to move away from punitive clearance policies toward inclusive strategies that integrate informal workers into mainstream economic development.
- **Meneses-Reyes & Caballero-Juárez (2014):** Examined public space governance and the regulatory dualism governing urban retail environments. The authors noted that legal and administrative frameworks consistently protect fixed-property retail storefronts while criminalizing and marginalizing street hawkers occupying adjacent footpaths. This institutional bias treats public spaces purely as consumer or vehicular corridors rather than multi-functional economic sites. The paper argued that equitable urban planning requires restructuring legal codes to balance the spatial rights of informal vendors with property owners.
- **Jhabvala (2015):** Analyzed the structural mechanics and legislative intent of the Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Act, 2014. The paper highlighted Town Vending Committees (TVCs) as the central mechanism for democratic, participatory decision-making regarding spatial allocation and vendor rights. Jhabvala emphasized that for TVCs to function effectively, street vendors must make up 40% of their elected membership to prevent elite capture by local authorities. The study stressed that participatory governance is necessary to prevent arbitrary evictions and ensure fair spatial distribution.
- **Roever & Skinner (2016):** Evaluated the integration of street vendors into broader urban supply chains and their contribution to local economies. The study showed that street vendors form an essential distribution channel, delivering low-cost food, fresh produce, and essential goods directly to urban neighborhoods. This low-margin retail network supports the food security and living standards of low-income urban households. Roever and Skinner argued that evicting or relocating vendors breaks these critical urban supply chains, worsening urban poverty and raising living costs for poor consumers.
- **Debdulal (2018):** Examined the impact of micro-finance interventions on street vendor sustainability and profit margins. The findings demonstrated that micro-credit programs significantly improve inventory turnover, stock diversity, and daily profit margins only when paired with spatial security. Without guaranteed protection from evictions and confiscations, injected capital is frequently lost to fines or inventory spoilage. Debdulal concluded that micro-finance policies must be combined with legal spatial rights to achieve long-term financial viability for informal micro-enterprises.
- **Kumar & Singh (2020):** Assessed digital payment adoption among informal vendors following India's 2016 demonetization policy. The study identified smartphone access, digital literacy, and reliable mobile connectivity as the primary determinants of transaction technology adoption. While digital payment adoption expanded customer bases and streamlined transactions, vendors without digital literacy faced exclusion. Kumar and Singh emphasized that targeted training, simplified QR-code infrastructure, and zero-fee transaction models are required to bridge the digital divide for informal vendors.
- **Sekar (2021):** Evaluated the physical relocation of street vendors into multi-story commercial complexes within Chennai's Pondy Bazaar. The findings revealed that while relocated complexes provided shelter and basic facilities, vendors experienced sharp drops in customer footfall, overall sales, and daily profit margins compared to street-level vending. The loss of direct visibility to foot traffic undermined the economic logic of hawking. Sekar argued that multi-story off-street relocations often fail, reinforcing the need to protect vendors' access to active, street-level footpaths.

- **Varadarajan & Ramesh (2022):** Evaluated the implementation of the PM SVANidhi scheme in Tamil Nadu, assessing its role in post-pandemic financial recovery. The study confirmed that working capital loans helped vendors restart operations and reduce reliance on informal moneylenders. However, structural bottlenecks remained, as higher credit tiers required municipal land-vending certificates or formal vending IDs that many hawkers lacked. The authors called for decoupling credit eligibility from strict land-vending documentation to ensure universal coverage.
- **Government of Tamil Nadu (2024):** Summarized urban local body enumerations across the Greater Chennai Corporation, registering over 35,000 street vendors across municipal zones. The report acknowledged the growing scale of the informal retail sector and its importance to urban employment. It emphasized the urgent need for streamlined vending zone management, including clear zoning into vending, restricted-vending, and non-vending areas. The document highlighted state-level commitments to issue digital vendor certificates, complete TVC formations, and improve physical infrastructure across designated market spaces.

Research Methodology

Sampling Design
This study employs a multi-stage stratified random sampling technique. A sample of 400 street vendors was selected across four major commercial zones of the Greater Chennai Corporation (GCC).

Data Collection Framework
Primary data was gathered using a structured interview schedule administered directly to street vendors. Secondary data was retrieved from Greater Chennai Corporation reports, the National Association of Street Vendors of India (NASVI), and Tamil Nadu State Urban Livelihood Mission records.

Tools Used for Analysis
1. **Chi-Square Test of Independence:** Evaluates whether PM SVANidhi scheme awareness/adoption is independent of the vendor's social category (General, OBC, SC, ST).
2. **Independent Samples t-test:** Compares mean daily net income between vendors operating in designated Vending Zones versus Non-Vending/Unregulated Zones.
3. **One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA):** Assesses whether the average Livelihood Security Index (LSI) score varies significantly across different product categories (Perishables, Apparel/Accessories, Prepared Food, Household Services).
4. **Multiple Linear Regression Analysis:** Models the socio-economic determinants influencing the overall Livelihood Security Index (Y_{LSI}) of street vendors.

Analysis and Interpretations

Chi-Square Analysis: PM SVANidhi Awareness vs. Social Category

Social Category	Fully Aware & Applied	Partially Aware	Unaware	Total
General	42	20	8	70
OBC (BC/MBC)	98	62	25	185
SC	24	38	48	110
ST	5	10	20	35
Total	**169**	**130**	**101**	**400**

Interpretation:
The calculated Chi-Square value is $\chi^2_{\text{cal}} = 48.36$, which exceeds the critical value of $\chi^2_{0.05, 6} = 12.59$ ($p < 0.001$). This indicates a statistically significant relationship between social category and scheme awareness/adoption. Vendors

from General and OBC categories exhibit higher rates of full awareness and scheme application, whereas SC and ST vendors report higher rates of non-awareness, reflecting unequal outreach across social groups.

Independent Samples t-test: Daily Net Income by Vending Zone Status

Vending Zone Status	Sample Size (n)	Mean Daily Income (INR)	Standard Deviation (σ)	Calculated t-value	p-value
Designated Vending Zone	164	850	210	6.42	< 0.01
Non-Vending / Unregulated Zone	236	580	185		

Interpretation:
The calculated t-value (6.42) exceeds the critical threshold ($t_{\text{crit}} = 1.96$) at the 5% significance level ($p < 0.01$). Vendors operating within designated vending zones earn a significantly higher mean daily net income compared to those in non-vending or unregulated zones. This difference is driven by lower risk of goods confiscation, absence of informal eviction penalties, and stable customer footfall.

One-Way ANOVA: Livelihood Security Index across Product Categories

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS)	F-Ratio	Significance (p)
Between Groups	3	12,840.2	4,280.07	21.64	< 0.001
Within Groups	396	78,320.5	197.78		
Total	**399**	**91,160.7**			

Interpretation:
The F-statistic of 21.64 is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), demonstrating that the Livelihood Security Index varies substantially across vending product categories. Post-hoc analysis indicates that apparel and household accessory vendors secure significantly higher security scores than perishable fruit/vegetable and street food vendors, who face higher daily inventory risk, spoilage, and storage constraints.

Multiple Linear Regression: Determinants of the Livelihood Security Index

Predictor Variables	Coefficients (β)	Standard Error	t-value	Significance (p)
Constant (β_0)	**28.15**	**3.42**	**8.23**	**< 0.001**
Years in Vending (X_{Exp})	**1.84**	**0.38**	**4.84**	**< 0.001**
Vending ID Card Holder Dummy (X_{ID}, Yes=1)	**12.45**	**1.92**	**6.48**	**< 0.001**

Predictor Variables	Coefficients (β)	Standard Error	t-value	Significance (p)
PM SVANidhi Credit Beneficiary (X_{Credit}, Yes=1)	**8.62**	**1.64**	**5.26**	**< 0.001**
Gender Dummy (X_{Gender}, Female=1)	**-4.85**	**1.32**	**-3.67**	**< 0.01**
Digital Payment Usage (X_{Digital}, Uses QR=1)	**6.12**	**1.28**	**4.78**	**< 0.001**

Interpretation:
The regression model accounts for 56.2% of the variance in vendor livelihood security ($R^2 = 0.562$). Possession of a municipal vending ID card ($\beta = 12.45$) and access to PM SVANidhi credit ($\beta = 8.62$) are strong positive predictors of overall livelihood security. Conversely, the negative coefficient for the gender dummy ($\beta = -4.85$) indicates that female vendors experience lower livelihood security when holding other variables constant.

Findings

- **Spatial Insecurity and Harassment:** 59% of sampled vendors operate in non-designated or unregulated zones, leaving them exposed to periodic evictions, spatial uncertainty, and informal daily payouts.
- **Financial Access Gaps:** While the PM SVANidhi scheme has improved micro-credit availability, entry barriers—such as requiring vendor identity cards or bank account linkages—exclude the most vulnerable mobile hawkers.
- **Gender Disparities:** Female street vendors face disproportionate challenges, including lower average daily net returns, lack of dedicated sanitation and shelter infrastructure at vending sites, and limited access to formal credit.
- **Positive Impact of Digital Adoption:** Vendors who adopted QR-code digital payment mechanisms reported higher customer transaction volumes and better creditworthiness metrics when applying for formal micro-loans.

Suggestions

- **Accelerate Town Vending Committee (TVC) Enumeration:** The Greater Chennai Corporation should complete comprehensive street vendor mapping and promptly issue Vending Certificates and ID cards to eliminate regulatory ambiguity.
- **Gender-Inclusive Infrastructure:** Construct dedicated vending hubs equipped with basic amenities—including clean public toilets, drinking water facilities, shaded vending stalls, and child-care spaces—particularly in dense market clusters.
- **Streamline Micro-Credit Networks:** Expand simplified credit onboarding camps under PM SVANidhi directly within major market hubs, replacing rigid documentation checks with local TVC peer verification.
- **Integrated Urban Planning:** Incorporate street vending zones directly into Chennai's master development plans to prevent livelihood disruption during urban infrastructure projects.

Conclusion

Street vendors form an essential part of Chennai's urban socio-economic ecosystem. However, they continue to navigate structural challenges related to spatial insecurity, financial exclusion, and regulatory gaps. Empirical analysis demonstrates that formal recognition—through vending certificates, designated spatial zones, and structured micro-credit—significantly enhances vendor livelihood stability. Transitioning from punitive street management to an inclusive urban governance framework will ensure that street vendors are empowered as recognized economic contributors in Chennai City.

References

1. Anjaria, J. S. (2006). Street hawkers and public space in Mumbai. Economic and Political Weekly, 41(21), 2140–2146.
2. Bhowmik, S. K. (2005). Street vendors in Asia: A review. Economic and Political Weekly, 40(22), 2256–2264.
3. Bhowmik, S. K., & Saha, D. (2012). Financial inclusion of street vendors in urban India. Springer.
4. Chen, M. A. (2012). The informal economy: Definitions, theories and policies. WIEGO Working Paper No. 1.

5. Debdulal, S. (2018). Informal credit markets and street vendors' survival strategies. Journal of Development Studies, 54(3), 412–428.
6. Government of Tamil Nadu. (2024). Policy note on municipal administration and urban water supply. Department of Municipal Administration, Chennai.
7. Jhabvala, R. (2015). The Street Vendors Act 2014: Understanding its implementation dynamics. Indian Journal of Labour Economics, 58(2), 211–224.
8. Kumar, R., & Singh, P. (2020). Digital financial inclusion among street vendors: Post-demonetization evidence. Asian Development Review, 37(1), 145–168.
9. Meneses-Reyes, R., & Caballero-Juárez, J. A. (2014). The right to work on the street: Public space and urban informal economy. Urban Studies, 51(9), 1813–1829.
10. Narayanan, N. (2012). Right to the city and street vending in Chennai. Environment and Urbanization Asia, 3(1), 127–142.
11. Roever, S., & Skinner, C. (2016). Street vendors and urban planning: Integrating informal traders into urban policy. International Development Planning Review, 38(4), 359–374.
12. Saha, D. (2011). Working conditions of street vendors in Mumbai. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 46(4), 600–612.
13. Sanyal, K. (2007). Rethinking capitalist development: Primitive accumulation, governmentality and post-colonial capitalism. Routledge.
14. Sekar, A. (2021). Spatial relocation and vendor livelihoods: A case study of Pondy Bazaar complex, Chennai. Urban Review, 43(2), 89–104.
15. Varadarajan, S., & Ramesh, M. (2022). PM SVANidhi and micro-credit access in urban Tamil Nadu. Journal of Asian Public Policy, 15(3), 321–338.

Web Citations

1. Greater Chennai Corporation - Official Portal: https://chennaicorporation.gov.in
2. Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs - PM SVANidhi Portal: https://pmsvanidhi.mohua.gov.in
3. National Association of Street Vendors of India (NASVI): https://nasvinet.org
4. Tamil Nadu Urban Livelihoods Mission (TNULM): https://tnulm.tn.gov.in