

The City as Crisis: Urban Decay and Resilience in Postcolonial Fiction

Mr. Sourav Santra¹, Dr. Mukesh Tiwari²

¹Research Scholar, Department of H.S.S, C.V. Raman Global University Email: souravsantra6@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor of English, C.V. Raman Global University Email: mukeshtiwari@cgu-odisha.ac.in

Abstract

This paper examines the postcolonial city as a constitutive site of crisis in select works of postcolonial fiction, arguing that urban decay and subaltern resilience constitute a contrapuntal relationship, each giving shape and meaning to the other within the crisis-ridden postcolonial cityscape. Drawing on Henri Lefebvre's theory of the social production of space, David Harvey's concept of accumulation by dispossession, and Homi Bhabha's notion of the 'Third Space', this study reads the postcolonial metropolis as an archive of inherited colonial spatial violence, ongoing structural inequality, and emergent counter-narratives of survival. Through close readings of Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* (2008), Rohinton Mistry's *A Fine Balance* (1995), Vikram Chandra's *Sacred Games* (2006), Katherine Boo's *Behind the Beautiful Forevers* (2012), and Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* (1981), the paper traces how postcolonial fiction maps systemic crises class exploitation, institutional failure, communal violence, and forced migration onto urban space and the bodies of marginalised inhabitants. These texts reveal cities not as neutral settings but as active agents of dispossession that simultaneously produce conditions for subaltern resilience. The paper further contends that postcolonial urban fiction functions as a developmental intervention: by rendering visible the lives that official urban discourse erases, literature generates what this study terms a 'counter-cartography of crisis', challenging dominant narratives of urban progress and economic growth. In doing so, it opens a space for reimagining the postcolonial city not merely as a site of catastrophe but as a contested terrain of transformative possibility.

Keywords: Postcolonial City, Urban Crisis, Subaltern Resilience, Spatial Politics, Postcolonial Fiction.

Introduction

The postcolonial city is perhaps the most overdetermined site in contemporary literary and cultural studies. At once the inheritor of colonial spatial regimes and the aspirational symbol of national modernity, the postcolonial metropolis carries within its geography a profound structural contradiction: it promises inclusion and enacts exclusion; it celebrates development while systematically producing underdevelopment; it stages the spectacle of progress against a backdrop of chronic, structural crisis. To speak of the postcolonial city is therefore necessarily to speak of crisis - not as an exceptional state but as the very condition of urban existence for the majority of its inhabitants. As Henri Lefebvre argues in *The Production of Space*, 'space is a social product' it is constituted by relations of power, capital, and ideology rather than existing as a neutral container awaiting human occupation (26). In the postcolonial city, those relations of power bear the indelible imprint of colonial history, and the crises they generate are therefore historical as well as structural.

This paper is concerned with how literature maps and mediates this condition. Through close readings of five major texts - Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* (1981), Rohinton Mistry's *A Fine Balance* (1995), Vikram Chandra's *Sacred Games* (2006), Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* (2008), and Katherine Boo's *Behind the Beautiful Forevers* (2012) it argues that postcolonial urban fiction constitutes what this paper terms a 'counter-cartography of crisis': an alternative mapping of the city that restores visibility to those lives and spaces that official urban discourse systematically erases. In doing so, these texts reveal urban decay and subaltern resilience not as opposing forces but as a contrapuntal pair each producing, sustaining, and giving meaning to the other.

The theoretical architecture of this paper draws on three foundational frameworks. Lefebvre's argument in *The Production of Space* that space is socially produced through the interplay of spatial practice, representations of space, and spaces of representation provides the epistemological ground for reading the postcolonial city as a site where crisis is actively manufactured rather than accidentally encountered (38–39). David Harvey's concept of 'accumulation by dispossession', elaborated in *The New Imperialism*, explains how global capital continues to dispossess urban communities through privatisation, displacement, and financialisation (137–182). Finally, Homi Bhabha's notion of the 'Third Space of enunciation' in *The Location of Culture* enables a reading of how subaltern urban subjects inhabit the interstices of the postcolonial city to produce hybrid identities and resistant cultural

practices that elude the dominant spatial order (36–39).

The paper is organised into four sections. The first examines the colonial inheritance that structures postcolonial urban decay, with particular attention to Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and Mistry's *A Fine Balance*. The second section analyses how the urban body becomes the primary site upon which systemic crisis is inscribed, drawing on Mistry, Boo, and Adiga. The third section reads practices of subaltern resilience across all five texts, arguing that they constitute forms of spatial resistance that challenge the hegemonic production of urban space. The concluding section proposes that postcolonial urban fiction functions as a developmental intervention, offering a counter-cartography of the city with both epistemological and ethical implications for how we conceptualise urban futures.

I. Colonial Inheritance and the Architecture of Urban Decay

The postcolonial city did not emerge from a historical vacuum. Its spatial organisation and its divisions of centre and periphery, its hierarchies of settlement and infrastructure, its patterns of inclusion and exclusion bears the indelible imprint of colonial urban planning. As Frantz Fanon observed with characteristic bluntness in *The Wretched of the Earth*, 'the colonial world is a Manichean world' whose spatial logic divides the settler's quarter from the native quarter in a relation of absolute antagonism (6). Fanon insists that this division is not merely cultural but material: 'The town belonging to the colonised people... is a place of ill fame, peopled by men of evil repute' (4), a space of deliberate deprivation produced by the systematic channelling of resources toward the colonial city. The postcolonial metropolis, in this reading, is colonial space under new management - its structural inequalities recodified through new ideologies of class, caste, and communal identity.

This insight is theoretically elaborated by Harvey's concept of accumulation by dispossession. Harvey argues that this process is not a relic of primitive capitalism but 'an omnipresent feature of capitalism's geography' that intensifies in periods of crisis (Harvey 149). The postcolonial city is a privileged site for this process: colonial dispossession is not reversed but extended through new modalities of urban development, foreign investment, and real estate speculation. The slum, in this analysis, is not a symptom of underdevelopment but of a particular, highly efficient form of development one that requires systematic underinvestment in subaltern urban space to maintain the conditions for super-exploitation.

Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* offers perhaps the most thoroughgoing literary elaboration of this thesis. Bombay in the novel is simultaneously the symbol of India's postcolonial aspiration - cosmopolitan, syncretic, democratic and the primary site of its progressive disillusionment. Rushdie renders the city as a palimpsest, its colonial and postcolonial histories layered upon one another in a spatial text that demands, as Saleem puts it, 'to be read simultaneously and contradictorily' (463). The Emergency of 1975-77, narrated in the novel's final third, crystallises the longer failure of the Nehruvian urban project: the state that promised the city as a space of democratic possibility reveals itself as a machinery of dispossession and bodily violence. Saleem's famously crumbling body - 'I am becoming a ghost... I am disintegrating' (37) maps the decay of the city's political promise onto the postcolonial subject's physical dissolution. Bombay does not merely contain the crisis; it constitutes it.

What Rushdie performs through magical realism, Mistry performs through unflinching social realism. *A Fine Balance*, set in Bombay during the Emergency, treats that period of authoritarian governance not as an aberration but as the crystallisation of longer structural violences that the city's landscape has always encoded. The Emergency's slum demolitions, forcible sterilisations, and labour camp conscriptions are continuous with rather than departures from the city's everyday management of its poor. As Mistry's narrator observes of pavement dwellers subjected to beautification drives: 'The city would be clean, the city would be tidy, the city would be modern - and the poor would simply have to vanish' (282). The bureaucratic language of urban improvement, as Lefebvre would predict of 'conceived space', disguises dispossession as development and exclusion as civic progress (38-39).

The rural migrants Ishvar and Omprakash Darji arrive in Bombay fleeing caste violence, only to discover that the city offers not liberation but a different, more anonymised form of the same structural violence. They sleep on pavements, are conscripted into labour gangs, and are ultimately subjected to state-mandated mutilation that ends their capacity for independent survival. Their fate exemplifies Harvey's analysis: dispossessed twice - first by rural caste violence and then by urban bureaucratic violence - each dispossession serves the accumulation requirements of a different fraction of capital. Mistry renders this with such granularity that it functions as what Rashmi Varma calls 'a social cartography of the city' that official development discourse cannot and will not

produce (89).

Vikram Chandra's *Sacred Games* extends this analysis to Mumbai's contemporary urban ecosystem, mapping formal and informal economies, legitimate and criminal networks, in a dual narrative that follows police officer Sartaj Singh and gangster Ganesh Gaitonde. The novel's most significant contribution to the analysis of urban crisis is its revelation that the city's criminal underworld and its official institutions are structurally continuous: police, politicians, real estate developers, and organised crime all participate in the same system of accumulation by dispossession, differing primarily in their formal legal status. Gaitonde narrates his rise from poverty explicitly in spatial terms: 'I learnt that the city was a map of power, and to move up in it you had to move across it, claim its territories' (67). This cartographic consciousness - the city as a terrain of competing claims rather than a neutral space - is precisely what Lefebvre's analysis of the social production of space would predict.

II. The Urban Body as Crisis Text

If colonial urban planning provides the structural architecture of postcolonial urban crisis, the body of the marginalised urban subject is the site where this crisis is most intimately and violently inscribed. Across the texts examined here, the body functions not merely as a biological entity but as an urban text - a surface upon which the city's structural violences are written in hunger, illness, physical degradation, and the stunting of human possibility. To read these bodies closely is to access what Lefebvre terms 'lived space' - the space as actually experienced by its users, as opposed to the administered and planned space of institutional authority (39).

Katherine Boo's *Behind the Beautiful Forevers*, a work of narrative non-fiction that reads with the intimacy and precision of the best literary fiction, offers the most sustained examination of this bodily inscription. Set in Annawadi - a slum adjacent to Mumbai's international airport and surrounded by luxury hotels - the book documents the lives of its inhabitants with extraordinary granularity over three years. The proximity of spectacular wealth to absolute deprivation is Boo's central spatial conceit: 'In the individualistic, optimistic age of global markets, the idea that a poor person's worth might be determined by the performance of the global economy struck Annawadians as just' (7). The grotesque irony of this internalised logic - the urban poor accepting that macroeconomic abstractions determine their fate - illuminates precisely how Harvey's accumulation by dispossession operates at the level of subjective experience.

The body in Annawadi is the primary unit of economic production: it is what one has to sell, and when it is damaged or depleted, nothing remains. Boo documents with clinical precision the bodily consequences of this condition: Fatima, nicknamed 'the One Leg', is 'defined by her disability in a world where every able body was an economic asset' (31); children display stunted growth and untreated infections; Abdul Hakim Husain's scavenging work exposes him daily to toxic materials from which the city's infrastructure insulates its wealthier inhabitants. These are not individual afflictions but systematic productions - the city's body politic writing its priorities on the bodies of its most marginal subjects. As Boo observes of the local hospital: 'Going there was, for the poor, an experience of being taught their place' (167).

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* approaches the relationship between body and urban crisis through the lens of class consciousness. Balram Halwai's narrative maps the city explicitly in spatial and chromatic terms: 'India is two countries in one: an India of Light, and an India of Darkness' (14). The servant's body is a site of total subordination - Balram must suppress his physical reactions, control his bodily autonomy, and perform cheerful deference even as he witnesses the casual cruelty of his employers. His analysis of the 'Rooster Coop' locates this bodily subjection within a specifically spatial economy: 'The Rooster Coop was doing its work. Servants have to keep other servants from becoming free' (175). The city's spatial organisation - servant quarters, back entrances, the invisible routes by which the poor navigate the city without impinging on the consciousness of the wealthy - produces and maintains this economy of bodily subordination.

Balram's eventual murder of his employer Ashok is, among other things, a violent liberation of his body from this spatial and somatic economy - an act of spatial transgression that carries enormous moral complexity. Adiga refuses the reader any simple valorisation: Balram's freedom is purchased at the cost of his family's destruction and his own moral compromise, suggesting that when the city's only available path to resilience reproduces the city's own logic of violence, the crisis is structural rather than individual. As Balram reflects: 'The desire to be a master is already within every servant... but if you ask the servant, he will say no, I have no such desire' (178).

In *A Fine Balance*, Mistry develops a parallel analysis through the figure of forced sterilisation under the Emergency. The state's population control programme, administered through the city's hospitals and municipal offices, enacts what Fanon identifies as the colonial state's fundamental operation: the management of 'native'

bodies as resources to be controlled rather than subjects to be respected (15). When Ishvar is castrated rather than sterilised - a bureaucratic 'mistake' the official records will never acknowledge - Mistry renders this as the definitive statement of the city's relationship to its most vulnerable inhabitants: their bodies are administrative objects to be disposed of in accordance with institutional convenience. 'The files were neat and orderly,' Mistry observes, 'the human lives behind them chaotic and broken' (598).

III. Counter-Cartographies: Subaltern Resilience and Spatial Resistance

The preceding sections have traced the structural mechanisms by which the postcolonial city produces and sustains crisis for its marginalised inhabitants. Yet to stop here would be to reproduce the very erasure that these texts resist. For alongside, within, and against the city's machinery of dispossession, the texts examined here document with equal precision the forms of subaltern resilience, i.e., the practices, relationships, narratives, and spatial improvisations through which the urban poor assert their humanity and stake a claim to the city that the official urban order would deny them. Bhabha's Third Space becomes productive here: it designates a site of enunciation that is 'neither the One nor the Other,' a space from which hybrid identities and resistant cultural practices emerge that cannot be contained within the binary of domination and submission (36).

Michel de Certeau's distinction between strategies and tactics provides an equally important framework for reading these practices. He argues that tactics are 'the art of the weak' improvised, mobile practices that seize opportunities within spaces established by others without claiming permanent ownership of them (de Certeau 37). Boo documents precisely such tactical inhabitation in Annawadi: Abdul's scrupulous honesty - 'He treated his customers fairly, always paid a little more than the market rate' (18) - is a form of ethical self-constitution that the city's official economy neither requires nor rewards; Asha's navigation of patronage networks, the community's informal mutual credit systems, the children's determined pursuit of education in crumbling municipal schools - all constitute tactical occupations of the city's margins that constitute a genuine, if fragile, counter-order.

Mistry's *A Fine Balance* offers the most searching meditation on resilience under conditions of total structural adversity. The relationship between Ishvar, Omprakash, and Dina Dalal - unlikely companions brought together by economic necessity - generates a form of domestic community that the novel presents as a counter-space to the city's violence. Their shared meals, their laughter, their gradual emotional intimacy constitute Lefebvre's 'lived space' (39): space as actually inhabited and experienced by its users, as distinct from the conceived space of planners and administrators. This lived space is fragile and ultimately destroyed, yet Mistry insists on its moral significance through the novel's central symbol: the quilt assembled from fabric scraps and remnants that the characters construct together. 'The quilt told a story,' the narrator observes, 'each patch a memory, a feeling, a person - none of it lost, all of it threaded together' (603). The quilt is the novel's counter-cartography: an alternative mapping of the city assembled from its discarded materials.

Rushdie's *Bombay*, for all its political disillusionment, is also a city of extraordinary cultural resilience. Saleem's narrative - baroque, digressive, multiply voiced - is itself a formal enactment of the city's subaltern vitality. The *Midnight's Children's Conference*, those thousand and one children born at the moment of independence, is spatially located in Bombay: the city as the imagined site of a genuinely plural India. 'We were are the children of midnight,' Saleem declares, 'we are the people who happened to be born at the exact moment when History and Geography became one' (229). This conflation of history and geography positions the city as both the site of failure and the archive of possibility. Rushdie's formal pluralism - his insistence on representing the city's cacophony of voices, identities, and histories - is itself a spatial practice of resistance against the homogenising tendencies of both colonial and nationalist urban discourse.

In *Sacred Games*, resilience takes the form of a professional and ethical doggedness. Sartaj Singh, compromised by the corruption of the institution he serves, nevertheless pursues justice with a tenacity the novel frames as both noble and structurally futile. His navigation of Mumbai's layered geographies constitutes an informal counter-mapping of the city as a knowledge of its actual spatial organisation and real lines of power that is entirely different from the official map. 'The city had its own logic,' Sartaj reflects, 'its own routes and reasons, invisible to anyone who hadn't spent a lifetime learning to read them' (312). This epistemological claim - that the city can only be truly known from below, through lived practice rather than administrative overview - encapsulates the counter-cartographic method that all five texts share.

What unites these varied forms of resilience is their character as spatial practices: not merely psychological states but embodied, located activities through which the urban poor negotiate their relationship to the city's dominant spatial order. Together, across these five texts, they constitute what Varma identifies as the postcolonial city's

‘counter-public sphere’: an alternative realm of social life and spatial practice that persists in spite of and because of the dominant spatial order’s attempts to eliminate it (112).

IV. Literature as Developmental Intervention: The Counter-Cartography of Crisis

The argument of the preceding sections has been that postcolonial urban fiction maps the crisis of the postcolonial city through a process of counter-cartography: an alternative representation of urban space that restores visibility to those lives, relationships, and spatial practices that official urban discourse renders invisible. In this final section, the paper argues that this counter-cartographic function gives postcolonial urban fiction a significance that extends beyond the literary: it constitutes a genuine developmental intervention, with epistemological and ethical implications for how we understand and respond to urban crisis.

The concept of a counter-cartography of crisis draws on critical geography’s engagement with the politics of mapping. As J. B. Harley argued in ‘Deconstructing the Map’, maps are always ‘a form of power-knowledge’ that serves the interests of those who produce them (3). Official urban maps - zoning regulations, development plans, infrastructure diagrams, census data - represent the city in terms that justify existing distributions of resources and opportunities. The slum that appears in such maps as an area designated for clearance or redevelopment is, in the official cartography, simply a problem to be solved; the lives within it are cartographically invisible. The post-development theorist Arturo Escobar makes a parallel argument about development discourse more broadly: it ‘creates abnormalities which it then sets out to reform and improve’ (41), constructing the Global South as a space of deficit that requires external intervention. Postcolonial urban fiction disrupts this discourse of deficit by insisting on the full humanity, complexity, and social ingenuity of those whom development discourse constructs as objects of intervention.ⁱ

Boo’s Annawadi is counter-mapped in extraordinary detail: not merely its physical geography but its social topology, the relationships of mutual dependency and conflict that constitute its internal order, the lines of connection and exploitation that link it to the surrounding city and global economy. Boo explicitly positions this granularity as a form of documentary counter-knowledge: ‘I wanted to understand,’ she writes, ‘in the age of incredible global prosperity, why it was that some people thrived and others fell behind’ (xiii). The answer the book provides is structural rather than cultural or individual - the people of Annawadi do not fall behind because of any deficit in their own capacities, but because the city’s spatial and economic organisation systematically extracts value from their labour while excluding them from its benefits.

Mistry’s counter-cartography operates through a similar logic, inflected by the temporal depth of realist narration. By tracing the precise mechanisms - the nexus of bureaucratic administration, police coercion, contractor exploitation, and political patronage - through which Ishvar and Omprakash are systematically dispossessed, *A Fine Balance* provides what no policy document or demographic survey can: an account of how structural violence operates at the level of individual experience, transforming abstract economic forces into the specific texture of daily suffering and survival. ‘Statistics,’ as one character observes bitterly, ‘are people with the tears wiped off’ (Mistry 214). The novel wipes the tears back on, restoring to the statistical aggregate of urban poverty the faces, voices, and histories that developmental abstraction erases.

The formal properties of literary narration enable a form of developmental insight that statistical and administrative data cannot provide. When Adiga’s Balram reflects that ‘the moment you recognise what is beautiful in this world, you stop being a slave’ (225), he articulates a theory of consciousness that bears directly on how urban crisis is not merely endured but potentially transcended. Developmental discourse, with its focus on infrastructure, capital flows, and institutional reform, has no adequate account of this dimension and the role of aesthetic, moral, and cultural capacities in enabling or constraining subaltern resistance. Bhabha argues that it is precisely in the ‘pedagogical’ and ‘performative’ dimensions of cultural narration that new possibilities of political and social agency become thinkable (145–146). Postcolonial urban fiction is thus not merely descriptive of crisis but actively formative of the counter-discourses through which crisis may be contested.

Suketu Mehta’s reflections on Bombay offer a useful parallel formulation: ‘Bombay is a city that exists in the face of every obstacle. It should not exist, but it does, and it thrives’ (7). The city’s sheer persistence - its collective refusal to be reduced to its crises - is the most fundamental form of urban resilience: a refusal of the determination that structural adversity would impose. This persistence is precisely what the five texts examined here document and celebrate, even as they refuse any sentimentalisation of the conditions that make it necessary. Collectively, they constitute what Varma terms a ‘postcolonial urban imaginary’ - a literary tradition that addresses ‘the relationship between the city and modernity in all its ambivalences and contradictions’ and in doing so

generates 'new spatial knowledges and political possibilities' (5).

Conclusion

The postcolonial city is a crisis-constituted space: its spatial organisation, its distributions of resource and vulnerability, its everyday violences and occasional solidarities are all produced by the intersection of colonial inheritance and contemporary capitalist development. Postcolonial urban fiction does not merely represent this crisis; it actively mediates it, producing counter-cartographies of the city that restore visibility to the lives, relationships, and spatial practices that official urban discourse erases.

Through close readings of Rushdie, Mistry, Chandra, Adiga, and Boo - theoretically anchored by Lefebvre's spatial triad, Harvey's concept of accumulation by dispossession, Bhabha's Third Space, and de Certeau's tactics of the weak - this paper has argued that these counter-cartographies reveal urban decay and subaltern resilience as a contrapuntal pair rather than opposing forces. It is precisely the conditions of dispossession and crisis that generate the forms of solidarity, resourcefulness, and resistant spatial practice that constitute subaltern resilience. This is not a counsel of complacency but a recognition that crisis also produces, in Bhabha's formulation, 'new forms of agency and cultural politics' in the interstices of the dominant spatial order (37).

At a moment when urban crisis in the Global South is intensifying - driven by climate change, forced migration, technological displacement, and the deepening inequalities of global capitalism - the forms of knowledge that postcolonial urban fiction provides are not luxuries but necessities. They constitute an archive of subaltern urban experience whose epistemological value is irreducible to any other mode of knowledge production. To take this archive seriously is to acknowledge that any sustainable urban future must be built in dialogue with the forms of life, knowledge, and resistance that already exist in the city's margins not as recipients of development but as its co-authors. The counter-cartography that these five texts collectively produce is, in the end, both a diagnosis of crisis and a model for its transcendence: it maps the city as it is in order to imagine - and perhaps to catalyse - the city as it might yet become.

References

1. Adiga, Aravind. *The White Tiger*. Atlantic Books, 2008.
2. Ahmad, Aijaz. In *Theory: Classes, Nations, Literatures*. Verso, 1992.
3. Anjaria, Ulka, ed. *A History of the Indian Novel in English*. Cambridge UP, 2015.
4. Apter, Emily. *Against World Literature: On the Politics of Untranslatability*. Verso, 2013.
5. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
6. Boo, Katherine. *Behind the Beautiful Forevers: Life, Death, and Hope in a Mumbai Undercity*. Random House, 2012.
7. Brennan, Timothy. *Salman Rushdie and the Third World*. Macmillan, 1989.
8. Chandra, Vikram. *Sacred Games*. Faber and Faber, 2006.
9. de Certeau, Michel. *The Practice of Everyday Life*. Trans. Steven Rendall. U of California P, 1984.
10. Escobar, Arturo. *Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World*. Princeton UP, 1995.
11. Fanon, Frantz. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Trans. Richard Philcox. Grove Press, 2004.
12. Harley, J. B. 'Deconstructing the Map.' *Cartographica*, vol. 26, no. 2, 1989, pp. 1-20.
13. Harvey, David. *The New Imperialism*. Oxford UP, 2003.
14. ---. *Rebel Cities: From the Right to the City to the Urban Revolution*. Verso, 2012.
15. Lefebvre, Henri. *The Production of Space*. Trans. Donald Nicholson-Smith. Blackwell, 1991.
16. Lukács, Georg. *The Historical Novel*. Trans. Hannah and Stanley Mitchell. Merlin Press, 1962.
17. Massey, Doreen. *For Space*. Sage, 2005.
18. Mehta, Suketu. *Maximum City: Bombay Lost and Found*. Penguin, 2004.
19. Mistry, Rohinton. *A Fine Balance*. McClelland and Stewart, 1995.
20. Parry, Benita. *Postcolonial Studies: A Materialist Critique*. Routledge, 2004.
21. Peluso, Nancy. 'Whose Woods Are These? Counter-Mapping Forest Territories in Kalimantan, Indonesia.' *Antipode*, vol. 27, no. 4, 1995, pp. 383-406.
22. Rushdie, Salman. *Midnight's Children*. Jonathan Cape, 1981.
23. Sharma, Sanjay. 'Spatial Narratives and the Postcolonial City.' *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 48, no. 3,

2012, pp. 231–245.

24. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. 'Can the Subaltern Speak?' *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg. U of Illinois P, 1988, pp. 271–313.

25. Suleri, Sara. *The Rhetoric of English India*. U of Chicago P, 1992.

26. Tarlo, Emma. *Unsettling Memories: Narratives of the Emergency in Delhi*. Hurst, 2003.

27. Varma, Rashmi. *The Postcolonial City and its Subjects: London, Nairobi, Bombay*. Routledge, 2012.
