

Destructive Capitalism and Reinventing Identity in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*

A. Suganya

Assistant Professor, Department of English, A. V. C. College, (Autonomous) Mannampandal, Mayiladuthurai, Email ID: aadhiransuganya12@gmail.com

Abstract

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* presents a critical examination of capitalism, class inequality, poverty, and the struggle for individual identity in contemporary India. Centred on Balram Halwai, a young man from a poor rural background who rises to become a successful entrepreneur, the novel portrays his transformation through his encounters with poverty, servitude, exploitation and economic ambition. Adiga uses Balram's journey to reveal how destructive capitalist structures shape individual choices and social relationships. The novel also explores the tension between freedom and morality as Balram seeks to escape the social conditions that restrict his identity. This paper examines how Balram rejects his inherited identity and constructs a new self through ambition, rebellion and participation in the capitalist system. Furthermore, it explores how social inequality and economic power influence his understanding of success, freedom and selfhood. The analysis highlights *The White Tiger* as a critique of a society in which the pursuit of economic advancement can lead to exploitation, moral conflict and the reinvention of identity.

Keywords: Capitalism, Identity, Class Inequality, Poverty, Exploitation, Social Mobility, Freedom, Balram Halwai, Economic Power, Indian Society.

Introduction

Capitalism is generally associated with economic development, individual opportunity and social mobility. However, the expansion of market-driven economies can also intensify social inequality, exploitation and competition. Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* presents this contradiction through Balram Halwai, whose movement from poverty and servitude to entrepreneurship takes place within the changing economic landscape of contemporary India. Praveen Shetty, Vishnumoorthy Prabhu, and Pratapchandra T. observe that the novel "encapsulates the complexities of identity formation in a milieu effected by neo-capitalism" (276). Their observation establishes the close relationship between economic change and the reconstruction of individual identity in Adiga's novel.

The term "destructive capitalism" in the present study refers to an economic system in which the pursuit of wealth and upward mobility is accompanied by exploitation, inequality, competition and moral compromise. *The White Tiger* does not present economic advancement as an uncomplicated path to freedom. Balram's rise from servant to entrepreneur exposes the unequal conditions through which capitalist success becomes possible. Ahmed Mucktadir argues that the novel represents a society in which "material upward mobility for a rare few is based on increased exploitation of the majority" (126). This contradiction is central to Balram's transformation, since his success is achieved within the same social and economic structure that produces his earlier deprivation. Reinventing Identity concerns Balram's deliberate reconstruction of the self. His identity changes as he moves from Laxmangarh to Delhi and finally to Bangalore, where he establishes himself as an entrepreneur. Shetty, Prabhu, and Pratapchandra describe this movement as Balram's transition from "the boy from darkness" to "Ashok Sharma, the entrepreneur hiding in light" (276). The change in name is significant because it marks more than economic advancement; it represents Balram's attempt to distance himself from the social identity imposed upon him by poverty, family, caste and servitude.

Balram's reinvention, however, is not presented as complete liberation. His new identity is produced through the values and practices of the capitalist world he enters. Liani Lochner argues that Adiga's novel "subverts the rhetoric of freedom and agency surrounding the neoliberal subject" (41). Balram appears to gain independence, yet his freedom involves violence, manipulation and the rejection of earlier moral and familial obligations. His transformation therefore raises the question of whether economic independence can truly constitute freedom when it depends upon the reproduction of the inequalities from which the individual has escaped.

The relationship between destructive capitalism and reinvented identity is therefore central to Adiga's representation of Balram. His transformation demonstrates that economic mobility can provide an opportunity for

self-reconstruction while simultaneously demanding moral compromise. Shetty, Prabhu, and Pratapchandra consequently describe Balram's transformation into Ashok Sharma as a "neocapitalist coup" (276). The phrase captures the ambiguity of his achievement: Balram breaks away from an oppressive social order, but his new position does not necessarily challenge the system itself.

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* presents Balram Halwai's life as a journey shaped by the unequal social and economic structures of contemporary India. From his childhood in Laxmangarh to his later life in Bangalore, Balram moves through different social positions and gradually develops an awareness of the forces that determine his place in society. His experiences as a poor child, school dropout, servant and entrepreneur reveal how class determines access to education, employment, dignity and freedom. The novel's narrative, presented through Balram's letters to the Chinese Premier, gives him control over the telling of his own life. This narrative position is important because Balram is not merely described by others; he becomes the person who interprets his own experiences and gives meaning to his transformation.

Balram's childhood establishes the social conditions from which his later identity develops. He grows up in a family affected by poverty and debt, where economic hardship limits individual choices. His father's position as a rickshaw puller exposes him to the humiliation associated with poverty and social inequality. Balram's early experiences teach him that hard work alone does not necessarily provide social mobility. The village environment is controlled by powerful landlords, while poor families remain dependent on them for their livelihood. His understanding of this unequal structure gradually becomes an important part of his desire to escape his inherited position.

The metaphor of the "Rooster Coop" provides one of the central explanations for Balram's social condition. Balram compares the lives of poor Indians to roosters confined in a cage, where they remain trapped even when an opportunity to escape appears. He observes that the poor are controlled not only by external authority but also by fear, family responsibility and social conditioning. The metaphor therefore represents a system in which individuals participate in their own confinement. Balram's desire for freedom develops from his recognition that poverty is maintained through a combination of economic dependence, social expectations and psychological restraint.

The distinction between the "Light" and the "Darkness" further reflects the class divisions that shape Balram's identity. The wealthy urban spaces associated with Delhi and Bangalore represent opportunities unavailable to people from Balram's background, while his village remains connected with poverty and limited prospects. His movement between these spaces allows him to observe the unequal distribution of comfort and opportunity. The contrast also demonstrates that economic development does not benefit everyone equally. Modern buildings, businesses and technological advancement exist alongside poverty, servitude and exploitation.

Balram's employment as a driver in the Stork family exposes him to the everyday workings of class hierarchy. Although he enters the family's household as an employee, he is constantly reminded of the distance separating servants from their employers. His position requires obedience, discretion and loyalty, while the wealthy members of the family enjoy privileges that remain inaccessible to him. The relationship between master and servant therefore becomes a significant factor in shaping Balram's understanding of identity. He begins to recognise that his social position is not determined by his abilities alone but by the class structure into which he has been born. His relationship with Ashok is particularly important because Ashok represents a more complicated form of privilege. Unlike the older members of his family, Ashok has spent time in the United States and appears more sympathetic towards Balram. Nevertheless, he remains part of the system that employs and controls Balram. This contradiction prevents the novel from presenting class relations simply as a conflict between cruel masters and innocent servants. Ashok may show kindness, but the economic relationship between the two remains unequal. Balram therefore learns that individual sympathy cannot completely remove the structural difference between employer and employee.

Balram's decision to break away from these expectations becomes a decisive moment in the formation of his new identity. His escape is not presented as a simple act of liberation. It involves violence, betrayal and the abandonment of moral principles that previously governed his life. The transformation therefore carries a serious ethical cost. Balram gains independence, but the methods through which he achieves it complicate the idea of freedom. Adiga suggests that a social system based on inequality can produce individuals who reproduce the same patterns of domination once they acquire power. The murder of Ashok becomes the most significant turning point in Balram's transformation. By killing his employer and stealing his money, Balram breaks the relationship of dependence that has defined his life as a servant. The act is both an individual rebellion and a rejection of the social position assigned to him. However, the murder cannot be understood simply as heroic resistance. It exposes the destructive consequences of a system in which economic success is associated with power and where the desire for freedom

becomes entangled with violence. Balram escapes the position of the oppressed by entering a position from which he can exercise power over others.

The reinvention of identity becomes more visible after Balram reaches Bangalore. He changes his name and establishes himself as an entrepreneur, presenting himself as Ashok Sharma. The change in name is significant because it separates his new identity from the social identity associated with Balram Halwai. His earlier name carries associations with caste, village life, poverty and servitude, whereas his new identity allows him to present himself as an urban businessman. The transformation therefore demonstrates that identity can be influenced by economic position and social mobility.

Balram's taxi business also reveals the contradictions within his newly constructed identity. As an entrepreneur, he is no longer dependent upon a single master, but he becomes an employer himself. He acquires drivers and participates in the same economic relationships that once placed him in a subordinate position. His transformation therefore does not destroy hierarchy; instead, it changes his position within it. The former servant becomes a businessman capable of exercising authority over others. This development demonstrates the complicated relationship between liberation and power in the novel.

Education is another important element in Balram's reinvention. Although his formal education is interrupted during childhood, Balram remains highly observant and develops his own understanding of society. His letters demonstrate his ability to analyse political, economic and social conditions. He presents himself not as an educated intellectual in the conventional sense but as someone who has learned from experience. His self-education becomes part of his attempt to overcome the identity imposed upon him by poverty and social class.

The form of the novel itself contributes to Balram's construction of identity. By addressing his narrative to the Chinese Premier, Balram presents himself as an interpreter of Indian society. He selects events, comments on political and economic conditions and explains the behaviour of people around him. His narration allows him to control the meaning of his past. The act of storytelling therefore becomes connected with self-fashioning. Balram does not simply remember the person he was; he constructs an explanation for how and why he became the person he is.

Balram's language also reflects his changing relationship with power. As a servant, he is expected to remain silent and follow instructions. As an entrepreneur, he speaks with confidence and describes himself as an individual capable of making decisions. His narrative voice consequently marks the movement from dependence towards self-determination. Yet his confidence is accompanied by cynicism. He no longer accepts conventional ideas of morality without questioning whether those principles serve the interests of the powerful.

The novel also questions the relationship between economic development and individual freedom. Bangalore represents a new India associated with technology, entrepreneurship and global business. Balram recognises the possibilities offered by this environment, but he also observes the inequalities that remain beneath its modern appearance. His success therefore reflects both the possibilities and the contradictions of economic liberalisation. The city allows him to create a new identity, but his success is achieved within a system that continues to produce unequal relationships.

Balram's transformation can consequently be viewed as a movement through several identities: the poor village boy, the servant, the driver and finally the entrepreneur. None of these identities exists independently of economic circumstances. Each stage changes his understanding of himself and of the society around him. His final identity is therefore not simply discovered but constructed through experience, ambition and rejection of his previous social position.

At the same time, Balram's reinvention raises questions about whether genuine freedom can be achieved through participation in the same system that produces inequality. He escapes exploitation but later becomes part of a competitive economic structure in which others work under him. His success does not produce a completely new social order. Instead, it demonstrates how individuals may rise within an unequal system without necessarily changing the system itself. Adiga therefore presents social mobility as both liberating and morally complicated. Thus, *The White Tiger* presents identity as closely connected with class, economic power and social circumstances. Balram's transformation demonstrates that the desire to escape poverty can lead to both self-creation and moral compromise. His journey from servant to entrepreneur challenges fixed ideas of social identity while revealing the destructive consequences of a society in which wealth determines freedom and status. The novel ultimately leaves Balram's reinvention morally unresolved, suggesting that escaping oppression does not necessarily mean escaping the structures of power that produced it.

Balram's growing awareness of economic inequality becomes sharper as he observes the relationship between wealth and opportunity. His experience teaches him that social position is not determined simply by merit. The wealthy possess the freedom to make mistakes, while the poor are expected to bear the consequences of decisions made by others. Balram summarises this inequality by stating, "Rich men are born with opportunities they can waste" (Adiga 150). The statement reflects his understanding that privilege provides a freedom unavailable to those born into poverty. For Balram, economic inequality is therefore also an inequality of choice. The ability to construct an identity depends upon the opportunities available to an individual.

The concept of the Rooster Coop further explains why poverty continues despite the presence of opportunities for escape. Balram describes the condition of servants as a system in which individuals are trained to accept their subordinate position. He observes that "the coop is guarded from the inside" (166). The image is significant because the barriers preventing social mobility are not maintained only by wealthy employers. Servants themselves participate in maintaining the system by discouraging one another from seeking independence. Capitalism thus appears in the novel not simply as an economic structure but as a social system supported by habits, fear and obedience. Balram's eventual escape becomes significant because he refuses to remain within this established pattern.

Balram's relationship with his own conscience becomes increasingly complicated as he moves towards independence. During his years as a servant, he attempts to understand whether his loyalty to Ashok is genuine or simply a consequence of his position. He admits, "where my genuine concern for him ended and where my self-interest began, I could not tell" (160). The statement reveals the psychological effect of servitude. Economic dependence makes personal relationships difficult to separate from material interests. Balram's emotions are shaped by the knowledge that his livelihood depends upon another person. His identity as a servant therefore affects not only his social position but also his understanding of himself.

Balram's decision to murder Ashok represents the most extreme form of his rejection of servitude. The act destroys the relationship that has defined his economic and social existence. However, Adiga refuses to present the murder as uncomplicated liberation. Balram himself recognises the moral consequences of his action, admitting that it has "darkened my soul" (273). The phrase is important because his transformation does not erase his awareness of wrongdoing. His new identity is therefore built upon a contradiction: he has achieved freedom, but the act through which he obtains it permanently affects his conscience.

The murder also marks the point at which Balram begins to reproduce the logic of the capitalist world he has criticised. As a servant, he suffers from the power exercised by his employer; after the murder, he uses money and entrepreneurship to acquire power for himself. His transformation therefore does not eliminate exploitation as a social principle. Instead, he changes his position within the hierarchy. The oppressed individual becomes a participant in the same competitive system. Adiga thereby questions whether individual success can truly constitute liberation when the larger structures of inequality remain unchanged.

The global nature of Bangalore's economy also reveals the wider reach of capitalism. Balram observes that workers in the city remain active according to the schedules of businesses located abroad: "men and women in Bangalore live like the animals in a forest do" (255). The comparison suggests that economic modernisation does not automatically produce greater freedom. Even in a technologically advanced environment, workers may remain controlled by the demands of global capital. The city therefore represents both opportunity and another form of economic discipline.

Another important aspect of Balram's transformation is his understanding of education as a means of social advancement. Although his formal education is interrupted, he continues to observe and learn from the world around him. His later success is therefore connected not to institutional education but to his ability to understand changing economic conditions. Balram describes himself as an "original listener" (39), suggesting that his knowledge develops through observation and experience. His ability to listen to conversations between employers, businessmen and politicians allows him to understand the language of money and power. Education, therefore, becomes a practical tool through which he learns how the capitalist world operates.

Balram's understanding of entrepreneurship is also closely connected with his ability to adapt. He explains, "I absorbed everything, that's the amazing thing about entrepreneurs. We are like sponges, we absorb and grow" (60). The image of the sponge suggests flexibility and continuous adaptation. Unlike the fixed social identity assigned to him through birth and class, the entrepreneurial identity allows Balram to acquire new skills and behaviours. His reinvention is therefore based partly on his ability to absorb the habits, knowledge and strategies of the people

around him. At the same time, the statement reveals the competitive nature of capitalism, where success depends upon the ability to adapt quickly to changing circumstances.

The novel's distinction between the "Big Bellies" and the "Small Bellies" provides another way of understanding economic identity. Balram declares, "there are just two castes: Men with Big Bellies, and Men with Small Bellies. And only two destinies: eat or get eaten up" (54). The language of eating transforms economic inequality into a struggle for survival. Wealth becomes associated with consumption and power, while poverty is represented through deprivation. The traditional caste structure is therefore connected with a newer economic division. Balram's ambition to move from the "Small Bellies" to the "Big Bellies" reflects his desire not simply to possess money but to occupy the social position associated with economic power. This division also reveals the destructive side of capitalist competition. Balram does not imagine a society in which wealth is distributed more equally. Instead, he learns to understand success according to the logic of winning and losing. His ambition becomes increasingly individualistic because he sees the economic world as a space where one person's advancement may depend upon another person's disadvantage. The phrase "eat or get eaten up" therefore captures the moral tension at the centre of his reinvention. To survive, Balram gradually accepts the principle that power belongs to those who are willing to seize it.

Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger* presents capitalism as a system that offers the possibility of social mobility while simultaneously producing exploitation, inequality and moral destruction. Balram's journey from a poor servant in Laxmangarh to a successful entrepreneur in Bangalore reveals the unequal conditions underlying the promise of economic freedom. His rise demonstrates that the opportunities created by the new capitalist economy are not equally accessible to all; they are often shaped by class, caste, wealth and social power.

The study therefore finds that destructive capitalism and reinvented identity are closely interconnected in *The White Tiger*. Capitalism becomes destructive when the pursuit of wealth reduces human relationships to competition, service and economic usefulness, while identity becomes reinvented when individuals reshape themselves to survive or succeed within these conditions. Balram's transformation embodies both processes. He gains independence, but his independence is inseparable from the violence and exploitation through which he achieves it.

Adiga presents Balram's success as deliberately ambiguous. He is neither merely a victim of capitalism nor an uncomplicated symbol of entrepreneurial achievement. His journey exposes the contradictions of a society in which economic freedom can coexist with exploitation and where the reinvention of identity may require the rejection of one's former self. *The White Tiger* therefore suggests that escaping an oppressive system does not necessarily mean overcoming it. Balram succeeds in changing his position within the social hierarchy, but his success also demonstrates how easily the formerly oppressed can become part of the same structure of domination. Through this contradiction, Adiga offers a critical examination of capitalism, social inequality and the complex process of reinventing identity in contemporary India.

Works Cited

1. Adiga, Aravind. *The White Tiger*. HarperCollins, 2008.
2. Huebert, David. "A Tiger in the 'Rooster Coop': Techno-Capitalism, Narrative Ambiguity, and Gandhian Traditionalism in *The White Tiger*." *South Asian Review*, vol. 36, no. 2, 2015, pp. 25–50. Taylor & Francis, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02759527.2015.11933016>.
3. Lochner, Liani. "The Politics of Precarity: Contesting Neoliberalism's Subjects in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *English Academy Review*, vol. 31, no. 2, 2014, pp. 35–48. Taylor & Francis, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10131752.2014.965414>.
4. Mucktadir, Ahmed. "Unmasking the Neoliberal Promise in India: Balram's Paradoxical Representative Status—and the Clash between Individual and Collective Agency—in *The White Tiger*." *European Journal of Literary Studies*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2025, pp. 119–31.
5. Shetty, Praveen, Vishnumoorthy Prabhu, and Pratapchandra T. "Changing Notes in the Voices beyond the Rooster Coop: A Neo-Capitalist Coup in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *Text Matters: A Journal of Literature, Theory and Culture*, no. 2, 2012, pp. 276–87. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10231-012-0070-7>.
6. Sohn, Seokju. "The Limits of Counter Violence and Mimicry in India's Global Economic System in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *Saehan English Language and Literature*, vol. 66, no. 2, 2024, pp. 53–76. <https://doi.org/10.25151/nkje.2024.66.2.003>.
7. Wani, Lily. "The Poetics and Politics of Cultural Studies in Aravind Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *Asiatic: IIUM Journal of English Language and Literature*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.31436/asiatic.v5i1.537>.
8. "Economic Capital as a Social Strategy in Adiga's *The White Tiger*." *EAI Endorsed Transactions on ???*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.20-9-2019.2296654>.