

Silence, Trauma, and The Hidden Self: A Psychoanalytic Reading of Alex Michaelides's *The Silent Patient*

Dr.S. Fransta Darshana

Assistant Professor of English Holy Cross College (Autonomous), Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, Nagercoil – 629004

Abstract

Alex Michaelides's *The Silent Patient* presents silence not simply as the absence of speech but as a complex psychological response to trauma, betrayal, memory, and emotional repression. Alicia Berenson's refusal to speak after the death of her husband, Gabriel, becomes the central mystery of the novel, but her silence gradually reveals a much deeper history of childhood suffering and emotional abandonment. At the same time, Theo Faber, the psychotherapist who attempts to uncover the reasons behind Alicia's silence, is himself shaped by unresolved childhood trauma, insecurity, and an intense fear of rejection. The novel therefore creates a parallel between patient and therapist, showing how attempts to understand another person may also become attempts to understand the self. Through psychoanalytic concepts such as repression, projection, fractured identity, memory, and the unconscious, this paper examines the hidden psychological lives of Alicia and Theo. It also considers the significance of Alicia's paintings and diary as alternative forms of communication. Recent scholarship similarly identifies silence in the novel as a form of communication, resistance, and psychological defence (Arain; Bakkai and Amirouche). The paper argues that *The Silent Patient* represents the inner self as something that cannot always be reached through ordinary speech; instead, it emerges through silence, artistic expression, memory, and the return of repressed experience.

Keywords: inner self, silence, trauma, repression, psychoanalysis, memory, identity, art therapy, *The Silent Patient*.

Introduction

Alex Michaelides's *The Silent Patient* is constructed around a mystery, but beneath its psychological-thriller structure lies a sustained exploration of the human psyche. The novel begins with an apparently simple question: why does Alicia Berenson refuse to speak after the violent death of her husband, Gabriel? Yet the question becomes increasingly complicated as the narrative moves between Alicia's silence and Theo Faber's attempts to interpret it. Alicia's muteness is not an empty space. It carries memories, fear, anger, guilt, and resistance. Theo, meanwhile, believes that if he can make Alicia speak, he will be able to understand her. His professional interest in her case gradually becomes personal, however, because his own past is marked by abuse, abandonment, and emotional insecurity. The novel therefore places two wounded selves in proximity and uses their encounter to examine the psychological consequences of experiences that have never been fully confronted.

Alicia's silence is the most visible representation of repression in the novel. After Gabriel's death, she does not provide an explanation or defend herself verbally. Instead, she withdraws into silence. This silence frustrates the people around her because they expect language to provide access to truth. Michaelides challenges that assumption by repeatedly showing that speech itself can be deceptive, while silence may communicate intense emotional experience. Arain argues that Alicia's silence should be understood as a meaningful form of communication rather than merely as passive victimhood. Her study identifies suppressed personal narratives and self-discovery as crucial elements of the novel's psychological structure (Arain). Bakkai and Amirouche likewise observe that silence in the novel operates through several layers, connecting trauma, repression, resistance, and questions of identity.

The novel makes this idea particularly clear when Alicia's silence is described as a mirror:

"Alicia did for you. Her silence was like a mirror reflecting yourself back at you. And it was often an ugly sight" (Michaelides 87).

The image of the mirror is important because Alicia's silence does not reveal only Alicia. It also exposes the psychological conditions of those who attempt to interpret her. Theo enters the Grove determined to understand Alicia, but the longer he observes her, the more his own emotional history begins to surface. Her silence consequently becomes a psychological mirror. Instead of giving Theo the straightforward answers he expects, it forces him to confront his own assumptions, fears, and unresolved memories.

Alicia's silence is also closely connected to trauma. Her childhood is marked by her father's unpredictable anger and by an absence of emotional security. She remembers that her father's "unpredictable and arbitrary rages" transformed ordinary situations into possible threats (Michaelides 2). Such memories help explain why emotional safety becomes difficult for Alicia even in adulthood. Her relationship with Gabriel initially appears to

offer stability, but the relationship eventually becomes entangled with betrayal and fear. Her childhood experiences therefore remain psychologically active rather than disappearing into the past.

Ashraf, Naseem, and Khan specifically connect Alicia's adult personality with the effects of childhood abuse, arguing that the novel represents childhood trauma as a force that continues to influence adult behaviour and personality. Their study uses Ferenczi's trauma theory and Freud's theory of personality to examine the relationship between early abuse, repression, and later psychological behaviour (Ashraf et al. 560–68). Their argument is significant because Alicia's silence cannot be understood only as a reaction to Gabriel's death. It is also connected to a much older history of fear and emotional insecurity.

Alicia's diary provides another important route into her hidden self. While she refuses to speak to the people around her, she is capable of writing about her emotions. Writing becomes a private space where the self can appear without the pressure of social judgment. Early in the novel, Alicia observes:

"Writing this down is providing a kind of release, an outlet, a space to express myself. A bit like therapy, I suppose" (Michaelides 2).

The diary therefore creates a striking contrast between speech and writing. Alicia cannot communicate openly with others, but she can communicate with herself through writing. The act of writing becomes an emotional release and a form of self-preservation. Her diary allows experiences that have been suppressed in ordinary communication to return in a controlled form.

Dash and Sarangi similarly emphasize the relationship between memory, silence, and trauma in the novel. Their study argues that Alicia's silence and fragmented memories are connected to the difficulty of representing traumatic experience directly. They also point to the importance of journal entries and artwork as alternative forms of expression when ordinary speech becomes inadequate (Dash and Sarangi 117–22). Thus, Alicia's diary should not be treated merely as a narrative device. It becomes an extension of her psychological life.

The novel's treatment of trauma also becomes particularly significant through Theo Faber. Unlike Alicia, Theo is able to speak extensively. He narrates the story, explains his professional motives, describes his observations, and presents himself as a rational therapist. Yet his ability to speak does not mean that he understands himself. His psychological wounds are hidden beneath his professional identity. This contrast between Alicia and Theo is central to the novel: one character is outwardly silent but psychologically expressive, while the other is outwardly articulate but inwardly repressed.

Theo's conversation with Ruth, his former therapist, exposes this hidden emotional history. After discovering Kathy's affair, Theo initially understands his pain as the result of marital betrayal. Ruth, however, encourages him to look further into the past:

"You felt this sadness long before you met Kathy. It's a sadness you've been carrying around for many years" (Michaelides 111).

Ruth's observation shifts Theo's attention from the immediate crisis to the deeper origins of his emotional suffering. His sadness is not created entirely by Kathy's betrayal; the betrayal activates an older wound. Ruth then identifies the deeper problem as the experience of not receiving love when it was most needed. This moment is crucial because Theo begins to recognize that his adult relationships have been influenced by unresolved childhood experiences.

Ashraf et al. similarly emphasize the connection between childhood trauma and adult personality in *The Silent Patient*, while Naqvi, Hussain, and Safdar argue that both Alicia and Theo can be examined through psychoanalytic concepts involving unresolved conflicts and repressed emotions. Their study particularly emphasizes the role of the unconscious in shaping behaviour and the relationship between childhood trauma and later psychological responses (Naqvi et al. 55–64).

Theo's response to Kathy's infidelity demonstrates how deeply repression has affected his ability to confront painful emotions. When he decides to confront her, he unexpectedly becomes unable to speak:

"I know you're having an affair. I want a divorce. I opened my mouth to say it. But I found I was mute" (Michaelides 113).

This moment creates an important parallel between Theo and Alicia. The therapist who is obsessed with understanding Alicia's muteness experiences muteness himself at a moment of emotional crisis. His silence reveals that language is not always available when an individual encounters an overwhelming emotional reality. Theo wants to speak, but his psychological conflict prevents him from doing so.

His reaction also reveals the complexity of guilt and shame. Instead of feeling only anger toward Kathy, Theo describes himself as "ashamed and furtive as if I were the one with the guilty secret" (Michaelides 113). His response suggests that betrayal activates something deeper than jealousy. His sense of self is threatened. The discovery of Kathy's affair forces him to confront his fear of abandonment and inadequacy, while his inability to speak indicates how deeply those emotions have been repressed.

Ariffa K's discussion of the novel through narrative identity theory is useful here because it emphasizes the relationship between trauma, storytelling, and self-construction. Theo and Alicia construct stories about themselves in order to live with experiences that they cannot easily integrate. Their identities are therefore shaped not only by what happened to them but also by the stories they tell themselves about what happened (Ariffa).

The relationship between Alicia and Theo becomes even more complicated because Theo repeatedly projects his own psychological concerns onto Alicia. He believes that he can save her, but his desire to rescue her is connected to his own emotional history. His professional identity as a therapist gives him authority, yet his personal investment gradually interferes with his ability to maintain emotional distance. He begins to see Alicia not simply as a patient but as someone whose suffering resembles his own.

This mirroring becomes particularly visible in Alicia's accusation:

"Freak. Fraud. Liar."

The words are brief, but their psychological impact on Theo is considerable. Alicia's accusation destabilizes the identity he has created for himself as a compassionate and capable therapist. The accusation is significant because Theo is, in fact, concealing important truths from those around him and from himself. Alicia's silence therefore functions as a form of psychological confrontation.

Naqvi et al. identify Theo's obsession with Alicia's case as an important aspect of the novel's psychoanalytic structure. They argue that his determination to uncover Alicia's past is itself connected to underlying psychological motivations (Naqvi et al. 55–64). Theo is not a detached observer. His interest in Alicia gradually becomes a form of self-investigation, although he does not recognize it immediately.

The novel also explores the relationship between trauma and artistic expression. Alicia is an artist, and painting becomes another language through which her unconscious emotions emerge. Her self-portrait, *Alcestis*, is especially important because it allows her to express experiences that cannot be communicated directly. The painting connects Alicia's personal suffering with the mythological story of *Alcestis*, who sacrifices herself for her husband. The painting consequently becomes an external representation of Alicia's psychological condition. Sathya Priya and Vinothkumar interpret Alicia's artistic expression through trauma theory and art therapy. They argue that her painting functions as non-verbal evidence of trauma and that *Alcestis* provides a visual representation of experiences that resist direct verbal expression. Their study also draws upon Cathy Caruth's understanding of trauma as an experience that may return through fragmented memories and involuntary forms of expression (Sathya Priya and Vinothkumar 158–62).

Theo's observation of Alicia painting is therefore more than a simple description of an artist at work. He experiences the moment as intensely intimate:

"I felt like I was present at an intimate moment, watching a wild animal give birth. And although Alicia was aware of my presence, she didn't seem to mind" (Michaelides 194).

The comparison to birth suggests that painting becomes a process of bringing something hidden into existence. Alicia does not explain her feelings verbally; she creates an image through which those feelings can be encountered. Her occasional glances at Theo also establish a silent exchange between them. She is not simply being observed. She is also observing him.

Sathya Priya and Vinothkumar's argument about art as an alternative form of communication helps illuminate this scene. If trauma disrupts ordinary language, artistic expression can become another means through which the individual approaches experiences that cannot be easily verbalized (158–62). Alicia's painting consequently becomes part of the novel's larger argument that the hidden self may appear indirectly.

The boundaries between therapist and patient become increasingly unstable because both Alicia and Theo are attempting to deal with unresolved psychological wounds. Theo believes that he is investigating Alicia, but the investigation gradually turns inward. The distinction between observer and observed becomes difficult to maintain. At one point, Theo acknowledges:

"We were crashing through every last boundary between therapist and patient.

Soon it is impossible to say who was who" (Michaelides).

The statement captures the novel's central psychological paradox. Theo approaches Alicia as a professional who believes that he possesses the tools to understand her, but the encounter exposes his own vulnerability. Alicia's silence forces him to confront the emotional material he has avoided.

This mirroring is one of the strongest features of the novel. Alicia and Theo appear to occupy opposite positions—patient and therapist, silent and articulate, observed and observer—but their psychological experiences repeatedly overlap. Both have histories of childhood trauma. Both struggle with abandonment. Both construct narratives to protect themselves from painful realities. Both experience difficulties in sustaining intimate relationships. Their differences therefore conceal a deeper similarity.

Arain describes the novel's silence as connected to suppressed personal narratives and the possibility of self-discovery, while Bekkai and Amirouche emphasize the tension between expression and repression in Michaelides's narrative. These perspectives are particularly useful for understanding why the novel does not treat silence simply as a symptom that needs to be removed. Alicia's silence contains information about her psychological state, but it also represents her attempt to control what others can know about her.

The idea of silence as both defence and communication becomes especially significant in relation to trauma. Naqvi et al. describe Alicia's silence as a manifestation of repressed emotion and a psychological defence, while Dash and Sarangi connect silence with fragmented memory and traumatic experience. Alicia therefore remains silent not because she has nothing to communicate, but because ordinary language has become inadequate to the complexity of her experience.

The novel ultimately suggests that the hidden self cannot be understood through a single explanation. Alicia's silence is connected to trauma, but it is also connected to memory, resistance, identity, love, betrayal, and the need for control. Theo's psychological instability is similarly connected to childhood experiences, his marriage, his professional ambitions, and his desire to rescue Alicia. Their stories demonstrate that the self is not fixed or transparent. It is formed through layers of memory and emotional experience.

The significance of *The Silent Patient* therefore lies not simply in its revelation of a mystery but in its exploration of how individuals conceal painful aspects of themselves. Alicia's silence, Theo's self-deception, the diary, the paintings, and the therapeutic conversations all represent different ways of approaching the unconscious. The novel repeatedly demonstrates that what remains unspoken does not disappear. Instead, it may return through behaviour, art, memory, relationships, and emotional reactions.

Michaelides's novel thus presents the inner self as fractured but not entirely inaccessible. The process of understanding begins when characters are willing to look beyond the surface explanations they have created for themselves. Alicia's paintings and diary allow her hidden experiences to emerge indirectly, while Theo's encounter with Ruth forces him to acknowledge the childhood sadness beneath his adult relationships. Their experiences suggest that healing requires more than discovering external facts; it requires recognition of the emotional truths that have been suppressed.

Ultimately, *The Silent Patient* portrays silence as a psychologically complex language. Alicia speaks through her refusal to speak, through her paintings, through her diary, and through her reactions to those who attempt to understand her. Theo, despite being the novel's most articulate character, discovers that language can also fail when confronted with unresolved emotional pain. The relationship between the two characters reveals the instability of the boundary between healer and wounded individual, observer and observed, speech and silence. Through psychoanalytic representations of repression, projection, trauma, memory, and fractured identity, Michaelides shows that the most difficult truths are often those that individuals hide from themselves. The novel's central question, therefore, is not simply why Alicia remains silent, but what her silence makes visible about the wounded human self.

Works Cited

1. Arain, Fakiha. "Beyond Words: A Psychoanalytic Inquiry into Silence and Trauma in the Novel 'The Silent Patient' by Alex Michaelides." *Advances in Humanities Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2024, pp. 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7080/4/2024030>.
2. Ariffa, K. "Silence, Trauma, and Identity in *The Silent Patient*." *Jamal Academic Research Journal: An Interdisciplinary*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2025.
3. Ashraf, Ayesha, Shafqat Naseem, and Ghania Khan. "Traumatic Childhood and Adult Personality in Michaelides' *The Silent Patient*." *Pakistan Languages and Humanities Review*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2022, pp. 560–568. [https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2022\(6-III\)48](https://doi.org/10.47205/plhr.2022(6-III)48).
4. Bekkai, Selma, and Nassima Amirouche. "A Psychoanalytical Reading of *The Silent Patient* by Alex Michaelides: The Many Layers of Silence." *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2025, pp. 159–170.
5. Dash, Ananya, and Itishri Sarangi. "Memory, Silence and Trauma in Alex Michaelides's *The Silent Patient*." *International Journal of English Language, Education and Literature Studies*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2025, pp. 117–122. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeel.4.4.16>.
6. Michaelides, Alex. *The Silent Patient*. Celadon Books, 2019.
7. Naqvi, Mahnoor, Zahida Hussain, and Kainat Safdar. "Unveiling the Silence and Psychological Trauma in Michaelides's *The Silent Patient*: A Psychoanalytic Study." *Research Consortium Archive*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2025, pp. 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.62019/fg6ef590>.
8. Sathya Priya, R., and G. Vinothkumar. "Repressed Art, Expressed in Paint: Trauma and Art Therapy in *The Silent Patient*." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 12, no. S3, 2025, pp. 158–162. <https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v12iS3-Apr.9078>.