

Impact of Leadership Styles on Teacher Job Satisfaction A Systematic Review

Asha Yadav¹, Dr. Sahera Fatima²

¹PhD Scholar IBMC, Mangalyaan University, Aligarh, UP, India. email: 20242585_asha@mangalayatan.edu.in

²Associate Professor IBMC, Mangalyaan University, Aligarh, UP, India. email: sahera.fatima@mangalayatan.edu.in

ABSTRACT

School leadership plays a crucial role in influencing the job satisfaction of teachers. This systematic review examines the impact of school principals' leadership style on job satisfaction of teachers. With this purpose, 25 studies that focused on the relationship between Principal leadership style and teacher job satisfaction were under analysis. These studies mostly focused on the relationships between school principals' transformational and interaction leadership behaviours and teachers' job satisfaction. Additionally, job satisfaction was also studied in relation to servant leadership, ethical leadership, distributive leadership, individual- and task-oriented leadership, and school leadership behaviours. Based on the findings of existing research, transformational leadership styles have a greater impact on teacher job satisfaction and Student achievements, while laissez-faire leadership indicates some negative results for both outcomes. Transactional and servant leadership demonstrate moderate effectiveness in certain contexts. Finally, school principals' administrative behaviors that promote participation and flexibility, facilitate shared leadership, and embody individual-oriented and supportive practices have been shown to enhance teachers' job satisfaction.

Keywords: Leadership Styles, Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, Laissez-Faire Leadership, Teacher Job Satisfaction, Student Overall Performance, School Principal, Bengaluru Schools, Educational Leadership, Systematic Literature Review.

1. INTRODUCTION

In every school, leadership is a crucial factor that affects overall performance. An effective leader with the right leadership style can positively influence teacher performance, resulting in improved academic and overall outcomes for students. The leadership style of the principal is a key determinant of the school environment, as their influence impacts teacher discipline and well-being. Happy teachers foster a positive classroom atmosphere, and a joyous classroom environment enhances student performance. Amidst the ever-changing educational scenario of Bengaluru, Karnataka, there is no doubt that effective school leadership has become more critical than before. The Silicon Valley of India is one place with a rich collection of schools with many unique differences and complexities, including government schools, private aided, private unaided, and international schools working under the supervision of different examination boards like CBSE, ICSE, State Board, IB, and IGCSE. Every type of school works in its own administrative structure and serves unique demographic profiles. Despite the variety of schools, however, little has been done regarding studying the impact of principal leadership style on teachers' job satisfaction and students' overall performance.

Job satisfaction is an important factor that defines classroom instruction, teacher commitment, and retention. Dissatisfied teachers not only fail to fulfil their professional roles but may end up being absent from work more often. Ultimately, they leave the teaching profession in search of better career options, thus affecting student achievement adversely. In contrast, when teachers are happy with their jobs, they prove to be more creative, committed, and effective.

On the other hand, student academic and behavioural performance – encompassing not only academic attainment but also behaviour, attendance, and overall holistic development – is influenced by various elements, with school leadership being among the most influential. A wealth of empirical studies conducted across several nations and educational settings has demonstrated that school leaders who adopt visionary approaches and foster instructional and school culture improvements are positively correlated with enhanced student performance.

While there is already a considerable amount of scholarly research internationally on the subject, there has been a dearth of literature on the topic specific to the Bengaluru schools. Considering the fact that Bengaluru is a city witnessing tremendous educational growth while simultaneously struggling with issues such as educational equality and quality teacher availability, there is an urgent need for conducting further empirical research in the area.

2. STATEMENT OF RESEARCH PROBLEM

In today's education system Schools are feeling the heat to do well in exams, keep their teachers happy, and live up to what everyone's expecting from them these days. The Leaders, like principals and other academic heads, are super important for hitting these targets. But being a leader isn't just about having the title; it's about how you inspire your crew, make a space where everyone can learn and grow, and help people reach their goals.

The core research problem lies in the lack of empirical evidence identifying which leadership style or combination of styles is most effective in simultaneously enhancing student performance and teacher job satisfaction. Previous studies have often treated these two outcomes independently—some have concentrated on leadership's role in boosting academic performance, while others have focused on improving teacher morale and retention. This fragmented approach fails to address the reality that these outcomes are deeply interconnected: motivated and satisfied teachers are more likely to deliver effective teaching, which in turn improves student performance, and positive student outcomes often reinforce teacher satisfaction

Even though it's crucial, we're still not totally sure how different Leadership style and ways of leading like being all inspiring, just giving orders, being super strict, or letting everyone have a say actually change how well students do. In a lot of schools, they're usually all about the paperwork or the grades, but they don't really look into how the really actually make things better for teachers, students, and the whole vibe of the school.

Teachers usually enjoy their work more and stay longer when they feel respected, supported, and involved in decision-making. Similarly, students do better when they're in a well-organized, encouraging environment—something a good leader can create. Unfortunately, many schools struggle with low teacher morale, student disengagement, and a lack of connection, often because leadership styles are not clearly defined or used effectively. This research aims to look closely at how principals' leadership styles:

Teacher job satisfaction – including how motivated they feel, how appreciated they are, and whether they choose to stay in their jobs

There is a need to determine the leadership styles are most effective in simultaneously improving both student performance and teacher job satisfaction within Indian schools, while considering the influence of contextual variables such as institutional type, location, resource availability, and cultural norms

By addressing this problem, the study seeks to bridge the gap between leadership theory and practical application in education. The findings will offer actionable insights for school principals, administrators, and policy-makers, enabling them to adopt leadership approaches that foster high-quality teaching, motivated staff, and improved student outcomes in a manner suited to the unique demands of the educational system

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

The primary objective of this study is to determine the most common leadership philosophies used by principals and administrators in a chosen group of educational institutions. Since leadership has been repeatedly associated with organisational effectiveness, teacher morale, and student academic success-

- Examine the theoretical basis of various leadership styles prevalent in educational institutions, focusing especially on transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles.
- Synthesize empirical literature pertaining to the influence of principal leadership styles on teachers' job satisfaction.
- Synthesize empirical literature pertaining to the influence of principal leadership styles on students' overall performance.
- Evaluate the role of teachers' job satisfaction in mediating the relationship of principal leadership styles to students' overall performance.

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical basis for this review will be the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) model by Bass & Avolio (1994). It has become one of the most widely used and well-validated methods of evaluating leadership styles both in organizations and educational institutions. According to the MLQ, leadership can be placed on a continuum from passive-avoidant (laissez-faire) leadership through transactional leadership to transformational leadership. Each of these leadership styles represents a specific set of behavioural characteristics and motivational principles.

4.1 TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP

Transformational leadership theory was formulated by Burns (1978) and later elaborated by Bass (1985). According to these researchers, transformational leadership involves motivating followers to work beyond their personal self-interests in favor of a common vision and organizational goals. Bass & Avolio (1994) identified four

main characteristics of transformational leadership commonly referred to as the Four Is. They describe the behavioral aspects of the transformational leader in education.

Idealized Influence stands for the ability of the leader to serve as an example, gaining the admiration and respect of his/her followers by displaying high ethical standards and a deep commitment to the organizational goals. An example of the idealized influence leader can be a principal in the school who sets high standards of professional behavior and motivates teachers to achieve them. Inspirational Motivation is concerned with leaders' ability to develop a clear vision for the future of the organization and articulate achievable goals and purposes. Principals practicing inspirational motivation inspire their teachers with optimism about the future and motivate them to move forward. Intellectual Stimulation includes various leadership practices aimed at stimulating creativity and innovation in followers and encouraging them to think out-of-the-box. Principals practicing intellectual stimulation encourage teachers to try innovative teaching methods, exchange ideas and participate in professional development activities. Individualized Consideration is focused on addressing the personal and professional needs, goals, and developmental needs of each follower. Principals demonstrating individualized consideration are attentive to teachers' professional goals and progress and provide them with individualized assistance.

4.2 TRANSACTIONAL LEADERSHIP

Transactional leadership, as described by Burns (1978) and Bass (1985), is grounded in a social exchange model in which the leader motivates followers through a system of contingent rewards and punishments. The transactional leader establishes clear performance expectations and provides rewards — material, symbolic, or relational — contingent on follower compliance with these expectations. Bass and Avolio (1994) identified two primary dimensions of transactional leadership: Contingent Reward and Management by Exception. Contingent Reward is a transactional leadership style in which rewards are offered, which can be in form of recognition, good evaluation or any other form of opportunity for professional success as a way of encouraging adherence to predetermined performance standards. Principals who use contingent reward tactics in educational institutions acknowledge teachers that achieve academic goals, keep students disciplined according to set standards or perform tasks assigned to them. The transactional leadership strategy of Management by Exception can either be active or passive. This is where the leader will intervene in the performance of the followers only when there is deviation from predetermined standards. For school institutions, transactional principals using management by exception normally interact with the teachers on matters of non-compliance and poor performance.

4.3 LAISSEZ-FAIRE LEADERSHIP

Laissez-faire leadership, positioned at the passive avoidant end of the MLQ continuum, describes a leadership style characterised by the absence of leadership behaviour — a systematic avoidance of decision-making, responsibility, and engagement with followers. Bass and Avolio (1994) identified laissez-faire leadership as the least effective leadership style across virtually all organisational contexts, consistently associated with negative outcomes for follower satisfaction, commitment, and performance.

In school settings, laissez-faire principals are typically characterised by delayed decision-making, absence during critical institutional moments, reluctance to set clear academic or institutional goals, and disengagement from teacher professional development and student welfare concerns. Research consistently demonstrates that teachers under laissez-faire principals report higher levels of role ambiguity, professional isolation, and job dissatisfaction, with consequent negative effects on classroom performance and student outcomes.

5. METHODOLOGY OF REVIEW

The main goal of our investigation method is to provide a clear understanding of how transformational leadership practices impact teacher motivation and performance in schools. To achieve this, I will use a two-part approach that combines a detailed literature review with secondary data analysis.

1) Literature Review: This step involves a careful look at existing academic literature, studies, and scholarly articles related to transformational leadership in education. will review and summarize information to understand the theoretical framework, key principles of leadership, and how it has been applied in educational settings.

2) Secondary Data Analysis: In this phase, will analyze available data from various educational institutions. This data may include teacher performance metrics, surveys on teacher motivation, and relevant organizational information. will search for links between the presence of transformative leadership and positive outcomes in teacher motivation and effectiveness.

6. SEARCH STRATEGY

A comprehensive and structured search of academic databases was conducted using the following databases: Google Scholar, ResearchGate, Shodhganga and the database for Indian journal publications. The search was conducted using the following primary and secondary keywords in various combinations:

- Primary keywords: 'transformational leadership schools', 'transactional leadership education', 'laissez-faire leadership teachers', 'principal leadership style'
- Secondary keywords: 'teacher job satisfaction', 'school leadership India', 'educational leadership Bengaluru', 'Karnataka schools'
- Combined search strings: 'leadership styles AND teacher satisfaction', 'principal leadership AND student performance', 'transformational leadership AND teacher satisfaction India.'

7. RESEARCH GAP AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE INVESTIGATION

This systematic review has identified a significant and consequential gap in the existing research literature. While several studies have examined the relationship between leadership styles and institutional performance, there remains a significant gap in understanding how leadership directly contributes to the overall development of students and the job satisfaction of teachers in educational institutions. Much of the existing research relies on self-reported data and is often limited to correlational analyses, which restricts the ability to draw causal conclusions. Moreover, most studies focus narrowly on administrative outcomes or institutional efficiency, without adequately exploring the emotional, academic, and holistic development of students under different leadership environments. Similarly, the nuanced impact of leadership on teachers' professional growth, motivation, and sustained job satisfaction remains underexplored, particularly in diverse educational contexts such as private institutions or culturally varied settings like India. The absence of multi-level, longitudinal, and context-specific studies further limits the understanding of how different leadership styles—beyond transformational or transactional—can foster a supportive environment for both students and faculty. This highlights the need for future research that incorporates a broader range of leadership behaviors, considers institutional culture, and evaluates long-term outcomes related to faculty well-being and student success.

Despite valuable insights, several gaps remain in the existing literature:

- A predominant reliance on quantitative and correlational studies restricts a deeper understanding of how leadership operates within school systems.
- Limited research connects leadership styles with student socio-emotional development, with most focusing solely on academic performance.
- Many studies do not consider contextual factors, such as the type of school (e.g., public vs. private, rural vs. urban), cultural influences, or leadership at multiple levels.

8. PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Based on the synthesis of literature presented in this review, the following conceptual framework is proposed to guide future empirical investigation of the relationship between principal leadership styles, teacher job satisfaction, and student overall performance in Bengaluru schools.

The framework positions Principal Leadership Style as the primary independent variable, encompassing three dimensions — Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, and Laissez-Faire Leadership — as measured by the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) developed by Bass and Avolio (1994). Teacher Job Satisfaction and Student Overall Performance are positioned as the primary dependent variables, with Teacher Job Satisfaction also functioning as a mediating variable through which leadership style indirectly influences student outcomes. Moderating variables — including School Type, Teacher Demographics, Teacher Experience, and School Location — are proposed to moderate the strength and direction of the primary relationships.

This framework makes three primary propositions, each corresponding to a set of research hypotheses to be empirically tested:

- 1: Principal leadership style (transformational, transactional, laissez-faire) significantly predicts teacher job satisfaction, with transformational leadership demonstrating the strongest positive relationship.
- 2: Principal leadership style significantly predicts student overall performance, both directly and indirectly through teacher job satisfaction.
- 3: The relationships between leadership style, teacher satisfaction, and student performance are moderated by school type, teacher demographics, and institutional context.

9. DISCUSSION

The evidence synthesised in this review presents a compelling and consistent picture of the mechanisms through which principal leadership style shapes educational outcomes in school settings. The primacy of transformational leadership as the style most positively associated with both teacher job satisfaction and student overall performance is supported by a robust and methodologically diverse evidence base spanning multiple national contexts, school types, and student demographics. This finding is particularly significant for Bengaluru's school sector, where the human capital challenges of teacher recruitment, retention, and professional development represent pressing institutional priorities.

There are multiple significant implications of the review findings in relation to the issue of educational leadership in the Indian setting. Firstly, one can conclude that the prevalent transactional and compliance-based leadership cultures, which define the majority of the Indian schools, might not be the optimal ones in terms of fostering the teacher commitment and creativity and student-centered approach to learning that should underpin the comprehensive education outcome outlined in the National Education Policy 2020. Secondly, they emphasize the significance of developing the skills of transformational leaders, such as visioning, empowering, intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration among others.

Third, the mediating role of teacher job satisfaction in the leadership-student performance relationship underscores the strategic importance of teacher wellbeing as an institutional priority, not merely a human resource management concern. Principals who invest in teacher satisfaction — through recognition, professional development opportunities, workload management, and personalised support — are, in effect, making an investment in student outcomes. This perspective has important implications for how principal effectiveness is conceptualised, evaluated, and developed in Bengaluru schools and across Karnataka's education system.

Fourth, the review underscores the significance of contextually sensitive leadership, which is the ability of the principal to adjust his/her leadership style according to the particular situation of the institution. The diverse nature of the school ecology of Bengaluru requires leadership models that move away from general guidelines on transformational behaviors to deal with the intricacies of being an effective leader in government, private, and international schools.

10. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The above systematic review has established the connection between the leadership styles of principals and dependent variable of teacher job satisfaction through the synthesis of evidence drawn from 52 studies within national and international settings. It has revealed an empirical knowledge gap regarding the link between these variables in the context of Bengaluru schools. The major conclusion of the systematic review is very straightforward and consistent with existing international literature in that transformational leadership style, which involves visionary communication, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation, and inspirational motivation, is the best form of leadership style to elicit maximum teacher job satisfaction and student overall performance.

As a practicing school principal in Bengaluru with direct experience of the leadership challenges documented in this review, the researcher brings a unique practitioner perspective to these findings. The consistent alignment between the literature's findings and the lived realities of school leadership in Bengaluru — including the challenges of teacher motivation in resource-constrained environments, the complexity of serving diverse student populations, and the importance of institutional culture in driving academic outcomes — underscores the practical relevance and urgency of the research gap identified.

11. DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

As stated in this review, the proposed future empirical research involves the use of a mixed methods approach through a stratified sample of Bengaluru schools to verify the framework developed above. Future research must:

Use the MLQ (Bass & Avolio, 1994) and teacher job satisfaction measure on 200-300 teachers from 10-12 schools in Bengaluru representing the four categories of schools; Conduct interviews with the same sample of teachers using a semi-structured interview guide. Consider the moderating effects of school type, teacher experience, and institutional culture; and Formulate context-specific recommendations for the leadership development of each school type..

REFERENCES

1. Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice-Hall.

2. Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.
3. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. Sage Publications.
4. Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. Harper & Row.
5. Day, C., & Sammons, P. (2016). Successful school leadership. Education Development Trust.
6. DuFour, R., & Marzano, R. J. (2011). Leaders of learning: How district, school, and classroom leaders improve student achievement. Solution Tree Press.
7. Gairola, V., & Mishra, P. K. (2019). An overview of school climate and student outcomes in the Indian context. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1, 1-18.
8. Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education.
9. Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (2011). Exploring the journey of school improvement: Classifying and analysing patterns of change in school improvement processes and learning outcomes. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 22(1), 1-27.
10. Hallinger, P., & Ko, J. (2015). Education reform as a disruptive innovation: A multi-level perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 49, 150-159.
11. Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge.
12. Herzberg, F. (1959). The motivation to work. John Wiley & Sons.
13. Imhangbe, O. S., Okecha, R. E., & Obozuwa, J. (2018). Principal's leadership styles and teachers' job performance. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 47(6), 994-1010.
14. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. (2024). Optimal leadership styles for teacher satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the correlation between leadership styles and teacher job satisfaction. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01697-9>
15. Klusmann, U., Kunter, M., Trautwein, U., Ludtke, O., & Baumert, J. (2008). Teachers' occupational well-being and quality of instruction: The important role of self-regulatory patterns. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 100(3), 702-715.
16. Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 112-129.
17. Leithwood, K., Louis, K. S., Anderson, S., & Wahlstrom, K. (2010). Learning from leadership: Investigating the links to improved student learning. University of Minnesota.
18. Locke, E. A. (1968). Toward a theory of task motivation and incentives. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 3(2), 157-189.
19. Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 1297-1343). Rand McNally.
20. Marzano, R. J. (2003). What works in schools: Translating research into action. ASCD.
21. Nantale, H. (2024). The impact of leadership styles on teacher performance. *Research Invention Journal of Law, Communication and Languages*, 4(1), 13-18. <https://doi.org/10.59298/RIJLCL/2024/411318>
22. Parveen, K., Tran, P. Q. B., Alghamdi, A. A., Namaziandost, E., Aslam, S., & Xiaowei, T. (2022). Identifying the leadership challenges of K-12 public schools during COVID-19 disruption. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 875646.
23. Robinson, V. (2011). Student-centered leadership. Jossey-Bass.
24. Sangeeta Kumari, & Singh, M. (2024). A review of job satisfaction among school teachers in India. *Innovative Research Thoughts*, 10(1), 76-81.
25. Sebastian, J., & Allensworth, E. (2019). Linking principal leadership to instruction and student outcomes: Mediating effects of teachers' professional practices. *NASSP Bulletin*, 103(2), 101-122.
26. Spector, P. E. (1997). Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes, and consequences. Sage Publications.
27. Tesfaw, T. A. (2014). The relationship between transformational leadership and job satisfaction: The case of government secondary school teachers in Ethiopia. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 42(6), 903-918.
28. Wu, J., Liden, R. C., Liao, C., & Wayne, S. J. (2020). Does manager citizenship behaviour lead to preventing employee social loafing? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(8), 1233-1246.
29. Yasmin, F., Imran, M., & Sultana, M. (2019). Effects of principals' leadership styles on teachers' performance at secondary schools. *Journal of Educational Research*, 22(1), 181-196.