Research Article

Social Media Exposure, Political Communication and Adolescent Mental Health: A Critical Review of Digital Media Practices

Dr. Somanath Sahoo[1], Aashika Singh[2], Ramesh Tiwari[3]

[1]Associate Professor, School of Journalism and Mass Communication, AAFT University of Media and Arts, Village Manth (Maath), Kharora, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 493225, India.
somanath.sahoo@aaft.edu.in ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2196-9385
[2]Research Scholar, School of Journalism and Mass Communication, AAFT University of Media and Arts, Village Manth (Maath), Kharora, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 493225, India.
Phone: 801735506 | Email: aashikasingh.news@gmail.com
[3]Research Scholar, School of Journalism and Mass Communication, AAFT University of Media and Arts, Village Manth (Maath), Kharora, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 493225, India.
Phone: 9873165524 | Email: rameshtiwari7466@gmail.com
Corresponding author: Dr. Somanath Sahoo
Email: somanath.sahoo@aaft.edu.in

Abstract

Objective: To critically examine the relationship between social media exposure and adolescent mental health, with particular attention to digital political communication, political misinformation, polarization, identity formation and civic engagement. Data source: This narrative critical review draws on peer-reviewed research published in journals covering adolescent health, developmental psychology, psychiatry, digital communication and political participation. The selected literature includes systematic reviews, meta-analyses, longitudinal investigations and theoretical contributions. Data synthesis: Existing evidence indicates that associations between social media use and adolescent mental health depend on content characteristics, engagement patterns, developmental vulnerability and social circumstances. Exposure to hostile political discourse, misinformation and identity-based conflict represents a potentially important source of psychological distress. However, direct evidence establishing political content exposure as a cause of adolescent mental disorders remains limited. Constructive political communication may support civic knowledge, social belonging, identity development and perceived agency. The review proposes a conceptual framework connecting political content exposure with cognitive appraisal, emotional regulation, social relationships and psychological wellbeing. Media literacy, parental mediation and responsible platform design emerge as relevant protective considerations. Conclusion: Adolescent mental health research should move beyond general measures of social media consumption towards content-specific and developmentally sensitive assessments. Healthcare professionals, educators, families and digital platforms should support informed political participation while reducing exposure to harassment, misinformation and psychologically harmful interactions. Further longitudinal and cross-cultural research is necessary to establish the direction and magnitude of these relationships.

Keywords: Adolescent, Mental Health, Social Media, Political Participation, Health Literacy.

1. Introduction

The expansion of digital communication has altered the informational and social environments in which adolescents develop their identities, establish relationships and interpret public affairs. Social media platforms provide access to educational resources, interpersonal communication, entertainment, political information and opportunities for public participation. These activities occur during a developmental period characterized by substantial emotional, cognitive and social changes. The relationship between digital communication and adolescent mental health therefore requires attention to developmental circumstances and the characteristics of online experiences.

Odgers and Jensen[1] observed that research on adolescent digital technology use and mental health frequently produces associations that are smaller and more complex than public discussions suggest. Their analysis questions explanations that attribute psychological difficulties primarily to digital technology consumption. Adolescents enter online environments with different psychological characteristics, family resources and social vulnerabilities. These conditions influence how they select, interpret and respond to digital information.

Valkenburg and colleagues[2] similarly identified considerable variation across reviews examining social media and adolescent mental health. Although some investigations reported adverse associations, others identified weak or inconsistent relationships. These findings indicate that general measures of exposure may conceal meaningful differences between users and communication practices.

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Fassi and colleagues[3] examined 143 studies involving more than one million adolescents. The investigators identified small positive associations between social media use and internalizing symptoms. Research involving clinical populations remained comparatively limited. These findings support the need for cautious interpretation and more specific assessments of digital experiences.

Sala and colleagues[4] further highlighted the importance of individual characteristics, patterns of use and digital platform design. Their review recognized both risks and opportunities associated with adolescent social media participation. The relevant research question consequently extends beyond whether adolescents use social media to what they encounter, how they engage and under what circumstances psychological consequences arise.

Political communication represents an important but insufficiently integrated dimension of adolescent digital experience. Political information circulates through news organizations, institutional accounts, influencers, political organizations, interpersonal networks and algorithmically recommended content. Adolescents may encounter public policy debates, election-related information, political controversies and discussions concerning social identity without deliberately searching for political material.

Such exposure can facilitate learning about public institutions and collective concerns. It can also introduce adolescents to hostile exchanges, misleading claims, disturbing imagery and interpersonal conflict. Political communication may therefore intersect with psychological processes that involve threat perception, uncertainty, social comparison, group identification and emotional regulation.

Orben and colleagues[5] argue that developmental mechanisms should occupy a central position in research examining adolescent vulnerability to social media. Their approach provides an appropriate foundation for understanding why political content may produce different responses among adolescents exposed to comparable information.

The present review integrates research on adolescent mental health with scholarship on digital media and political socialization. Its central argument is that political communication should be considered a distinct category of digital exposure rather than treated as an incidental component of overall screen time.

The review addresses four questions:

1. What does existing research establish concerning social media exposure and adolescent mental health?
2. Through which psychological and communication mechanisms might political content influence adolescent wellbeing?
3. Under what circumstances might digital political engagement support or undermine psychological development?
4. Which educational, clinical and technological interventions warrant consideration?

The contribution of this review lies in connecting these questions within a content-sensitive and developmentally informed framework. It does not presume that political participation is inherently harmful or that exposure to political information independently causes mental illness.

2. Review Methodology

2.1 Review design and scope

This article adopts a narrative critical review design to integrate evidence from developmental psychology, adolescent psychiatry, communication research and political participation studies.

A narrative approach is appropriate because the relevant literature encompasses heterogeneous research designs, theoretical traditions, exposure measures and psychological outcomes. The available evidence does not support a single quantitative estimate of the relationship between political social media exposure and adolescent mental health.

The review prioritizes research involving adolescents aged 10 to 19 years. Studies involving broader youth populations and adults are considered when they provide relevant theoretical or communication-based explanations. Their findings are not interpreted as direct evidence of adolescent mental health outcomes.

2.2 Evidence selection and interpretation

The selected literature consists of peer-reviewed journal articles addressing social media use, depression, anxiety, psychological wellbeing, digital political communication, political participation, misinformation, online hostility and media literacy.

Systematic reviews, meta-analyses and longitudinal investigations receive particular attention because they provide stronger foundations for evaluating general patterns and temporal relationships. Foundational theoretical research is included where necessary to explain communication and developmental mechanisms.

The evidentiary approach distinguishes three categories:

1. Direct evidence concerning adolescent social media use and psychological outcomes.
2. Research concerning young people's political engagement and digital participation.
3. Adjacent communication research explaining misinformation, political hostility and collective identity.

These categories prevent the inappropriate transfer of findings from adult political communication research to adolescent clinical populations.

The appraisal considers research design, participant characteristics, exposure measurement, outcome measurement, potential confounding and the capacity of individual studies to support causal interpretation.

This article does not claim exhaustive database coverage, independent duplicate screening, formal risk-of-bias scoring or compliance with systematic review reporting requirements. No original participant data were collected, and no new statistical estimates were generated.

2.3 Analytical organization

The literature is organized around four analytical dimensions: developmental susceptibility, content-specific exposure, psychological and social mechanisms, and protective interventions.

The resulting conceptual framework represents an interpretive integration of existing research. Proposed relationships involving political communication require further empirical validation.

3. Social Media Exposure And Adolescent Psychological Development

3.1 Developmental susceptibility

Adolescence involves changes in social relationships, emotional processing, self-concept and sensitivity to interpersonal evaluation. These changes influence how young people respond to digitally mediated experiences.

Crone and Konijn[6] examine the relationship between adolescent media use and brain development, emphasizing the significance of social sensitivity and developmental processes. Digital environments provide frequent opportunities for receiving interpersonal feedback, evaluating social status and monitoring peer responses.

Political content can intersect with these developmental processes when public issues become connected to peer relationships, group membership or personal identity. A disagreement concerning public policy may be experienced as an interpersonal rejection when adolescents associate a political position with belonging to a particular social group.

The Differential Susceptibility to Media Effects Model developed by Valkenburg and Peter[7] provides a useful explanation of such variation. The model distinguishes dispositional, developmental and social susceptibility. Media effects depend partly on characteristics that precede exposure and partly on cognitive, emotional and physiological responses during communication.

Within political communication, these distinctions suggest that an adolescent's prior anxiety, family environment, political interest and social support may influence psychological responses. Exposure alone cannot adequately explain differences in outcomes.

3.2 Depression, anxiety and patterns of use

Keles and colleagues[8] identified associations between adolescent social media use and depression, anxiety and psychological distress. However, methodological limitations restricted conclusions concerning causal direction.

Kelly and colleagues[9] examined social media use and adolescent mental health using data from the United Kingdom

Millennium Cohort Study. Their investigation considered factors including online harassment, sleep, self-esteem and body image. These pathways illustrate why specific experiences may be more informative than overall duration of use.
Riehm and colleagues[10] reported prospective associations between time spent using social media and subsequent internalizing problems among young people in the United States. Nevertheless, observational designs remain vulnerable to unmeasured influences.

Boers and colleagues[11] examined longitudinal relationships between different forms of screen use and adolescent depression. Their findings reinforce the importance of distinguishing social media from other digital activities.
In contrast, Orben and Przybylski[12] identified relatively small associations between digital technology use and adolescent wellbeing across large datasets. Their work illustrates how analytical decisions and measurement choices can influence interpretations.

These investigations should be understood collectively. They provide evidence of associations between digital experiences and psychological outcomes, but they do not justify uniform conclusions concerning all adolescents.

Political exposure represents a further source of heterogeneity. Political news consumption, participation in civic discussion and repeated engagement with hostile commentary are distinct communication activities. Combining them within a single estimate of screen time reduces conceptual precision.

3.3 Individual differences in psychological responses

Beyens and colleagues[13] examined person-specific associations between social media use and adolescent wellbeing. Their findings demonstrated variation in how individual adolescents responded to social media experiences.
This variation has implications for political communication research. An adolescent who encounters information concerning a social issue may experience interest, concern, belonging or motivation. Another adolescent encountering comparable content may experience distress, interpersonal insecurity or a desire to withdraw.
The psychological significance of exposure depends on its personal and social meaning. Content concerning discrimination, violence or contested cultural identities may have particular relevance for adolescents whose lives are directly connected to those issues.
Individual differences also complicate preventive interventions. Universal restrictions based exclusively on duration may overlook adolescents who experience harmful content during relatively brief periods of use.
Clinical assessment and educational research should therefore examine content, interaction quality and individual response alongside time spent online.

4. Digital Political Communication And Adolescent Political Socialization

4.1 Political information environments

Political socialization describes the processes through which individuals develop knowledge, attitudes, identities and relationships concerning public institutions and collective life.
Families, schools, peer networks and traditional media have historically contributed to these processes. Social media adds communication environments in which adolescents can access political information, discuss public issues and participate in collective expression.
Boulianne and Theocharis[14] synthesized research on digital media use and young people's civic and political engagement. Their meta-analysis identified positive relationships between politically oriented digital activities and participation. They also emphasized the possibility of reciprocal relationships, whereby politically engaged individuals seek additional information online.
These findings challenge the assumption that digital participation necessarily produces disengagement or psychological harm.
Political content may help adolescents understand public institutions, recognize social concerns and identify opportunities for participation. However, learning environments differ substantially in the reliability of information and the quality of interpersonal engagement.
Communication emphasizing evidence, respectful disagreement and contextual understanding may support constructive learning. Communication organized around humiliation, exclusion or repeated threats may present different psychological demands.

4.2 Participation, identity and belonging

Political engagement can contribute to identity exploration by helping adolescents articulate values, interpret social experiences and establish relationships with communities.

Kahne and colleagues[15] examine the implications of participatory political practices for youth civic education. Their work emphasizes the educational significance of digitally mediated participation.

Such participation can provide opportunities for discussion, collaborative learning and collective action. Adolescents may experience a sense of competence when they understand complex issues or contribute meaningfully to community activities.

However, public political expression can also expose adolescents to visible disagreement and social evaluation. Questions concerning belonging may become particularly significant when political attitudes are closely associated with peer acceptance.

Political identification should therefore be examined as one component of broader adolescent identity development. It should not be treated as a pathological condition.

The relevant psychological distinction concerns whether engagement supports autonomy, constructive relationships and informed participation or becomes associated with coercion, exclusion and persistent distress.

4.3 Political participation and psychological wellbeing

Research connecting civic participation with wellbeing offers relevant insights, although civic involvement encompasses activities extending beyond electoral politics.

Ballard and colleagues[16] examined associations between adolescent and early adult civic engagement and subsequent health and socioeconomic outcomes. Their findings suggest that different forms of civic activity may have different relationships with later wellbeing.

These distinctions are important because adolescents participate in public life through volunteering, community projects, issue-based campaigns, school activities and online discussion. Such activities should not be combined without considering their specific demands and opportunities.

Constructive engagement may support perceived agency and social connection. Conversely, participation accompanied by harassment, excessive demands or persistent feelings of helplessness may increase psychological strain.

The available literature does not establish a universal protective or harmful effect of political participation on adolescent mental health.

Further research should distinguish voluntary participation from unwanted exposure and examine whether individual engagement produces feelings of efficacy, belonging, obligation or exhaustion.

5. Political Content And Potential Psychological Risk Pathways

5.1 Hostility, polarization and interpersonal conflict

Political polarization includes several distinct processes. Ideological polarization concerns differences in political positions, while affective polarization concerns emotional evaluations of opposing political groups.

Iyengar and colleagues[17] examine affective polarization within the United States political context. Their analysis helps explain how political identities may become connected to interpersonal preferences and negative perceptions of opposing groups.

Brady and colleagues[18] identified relationships between moral-emotional language and the diffusion of political messages within social networks. Their findings concern patterns of communication rather than adolescent psychological outcomes.

These studies help identify potential exposure characteristics relevant to adolescent health research. Politically charged content may emphasize group conflict, moral condemnation and social exclusion. Repeated encounters with such messages could influence perceptions of interpersonal threat or uncertainty.

Nevertheless, disagreement and polarization should not automatically be equated with psychological harm. Adolescents require opportunities to encounter differing perspectives and develop the capacity to evaluate arguments independently.

The potential risk arises when communication involves sustained intimidation, harassment, humiliation or personally threatening content.

Direct longitudinal evidence establishing a relationship between affective polarization exposure and adolescent psychiatric outcomes remains insufficient. The proposed relationship therefore requires empirical investigation.

5.2 Political misinformation and cognitive uncertainty

Political misinformation represents inaccurate or misleading information concerning public affairs. Adolescents may encounter such material through social media posts, manipulated images, edited videos and interpersonal messaging.

Vosoughi and colleagues[19] examined the circulation of true and false news through Twitter. Their investigation identified differences in diffusion patterns. The findings establish the relevance of information quality to digital communication research, although they do not establish adolescent mental health effects.

Pennycook and Rand[20] review psychological mechanisms associated with susceptibility to misinformation. Their analysis emphasizes the importance of reasoning, attention and information evaluation.

For adolescents, repeated encounters with contradictory claims may create uncertainty about which sources deserve trust. When misinformation concerns personal safety, health, discrimination or social conflict, the emotional implications may extend beyond factual misunderstanding.

These possibilities should be investigated without assuming that uncertainty necessarily produces psychiatric symptoms. Temporary concern may be an ordinary response to complex public information.

The proposed psychological pathway involves exposure, credibility appraisal, emotional interpretation and subsequent behavioural response. Adolescents who possess effective verification skills and supportive relationships may be better positioned to evaluate uncertain claims.

Research should examine whether misinformation exposure predicts distress independently of pre-existing anxiety, family circumstances and general online activity.

5.3 Threat-related media exposure

Digital political environments frequently intersect with reporting about violence, humanitarian emergencies and other threatening events.

Holman and colleagues[21] examined the relationship between media exposure following a traumatic public event and acute stress in an adult population. Their findings provide adjacent evidence concerning repeated exposure to disturbing media coverage.

Thompson and colleagues[22] subsequently investigated relationships between media exposure to mass violence and psychological distress over time. Their research supports consideration of reciprocal processes in which distress and subsequent media consumption may reinforce one another.

These studies cannot be treated as direct evidence concerning adolescent political communication. They nevertheless identify plausible questions for developmental research.

Adolescents may repeatedly encounter disturbing political imagery through algorithmic feeds or peer sharing. Exposure can occur outside their deliberate information-seeking activities.

The psychological consequences may depend on content intensity, personal relevance, prior experiences and access to supportive explanations.

Developmentally appropriate approaches should distinguish informed awareness of public events from repetitive exposure to distressing material. The objective is to support informed engagement while reducing unnecessary psychological burden.

5.4 Cyberbullying and identity-based harassment

Cyberbullying constitutes one of the more extensively studied harmful dimensions of adolescent digital communication.

Kowalski and colleagues[23] synthesized psychological research concerning cyberbullying among young people. Their findings establish associations between cyberbullying involvement and adverse psychological or social outcomes.

John and colleagues[24] reviewed research concerning cyberbullying, self-harm and suicidal behaviours among children and young people. Their work identifies substantial concerns while recognizing limitations in causal inference.

Political expression may become relevant when adolescents experience harassment because of expressed opinions, cultural identities or participation in public discussion.

The political content itself may be less consequential than the interpersonal aggression associated with it. This distinction matters for prevention. Restrictions on political information would not directly address harassment, whereas effective reporting procedures, moderation and interpersonal support could reduce exposure to abusive interactions.

Future research should measure political disagreement separately from targeted hostility. It should also examine whether identity-related harassment contributes additional psychological risk beyond general cyberbullying experiences.

5.5 Sleep disruption and repetitive engagement

Sleep provides an important context for understanding relationships between social media use and adolescent mental health.

Woods and Scott[25] identified associations between adolescent social media use, sleep quality, anxiety, depression and self-esteem. Their research highlights the importance of nighttime practices and emotional involvement.

Political communication may contribute to repetitive checking during periods of elections, crises or intense public debate. Notifications and rapidly changing stories may encourage continued engagement beyond intended limits.

However, evidence specifically connecting political news consumption with adolescent sleep disruption remains limited. The relevant hypothesis is that emotionally demanding political content may interact with existing patterns of nighttime social media use. This pathway requires assessment through behavioural measures, sleep records and repeated psychological evaluations.

Table 1. Evidence concerning digital communication, political exposure and psychological wellbeing

Evidence domain	Principal observation	References	Relevance to adolescent health
General social media exposure	Small and heterogeneous associations with psychological symptoms	1-4	Direct adolescent evidence
Developmental susceptibility	Psychological responses differ across individuals and developmental circumstances	5-7, 13	Explanatory framework
Depression and anxiety	Observational associations vary with exposure and measurement	8-12	Direct adolescent evidence
Political engagement	Politically oriented digital activities are associated with civic participation	14-16	Youth evidence, limited direct clinical evidence
Political hostility	Group identification and emotional language influence communication processes	17, 18	Indirect evidence
Misinformation	Information evaluation and reasoning influence susceptibility	19, 20	Indirect evidence
Threat-related media	Repeated exposure may interact with psychological distress	21, 22	Primarily adult evidence
Cyberbullying	Associations with adverse psychological outcomes	23, 24	Direct youth evidence
Sleep disruption	Social media practices are associated with sleep and psychological symptoms	25	Direct adolescent evidence
Media literacy and mediation	Educational and family practices may improve information evaluation and online experiences	26-29	Potential protective mechanisms

6. Constructive Political Engagement And Protective Factors

6.1 Civic agency and social support

A risk-oriented interpretation of political communication provides an incomplete understanding of adolescent development.

Digital participation may support access to social networks, public information and opportunities for collective problem-

solving. These experiences can be meaningful for adolescents who have limited opportunities to participate in conventional political institutions.

Evidence concerning civic engagement suggests that the character of participation matters.[14-16] Activities supporting meaningful contribution and interpersonal connection may differ psychologically from interactions characterized by harassment or constant competition.

Adolescent health interventions should therefore protect opportunities for constructive participation. The objective is not political disengagement. It is to support participation that remains compatible with emotional wellbeing, personal autonomy and supportive relationships.

6.2 Media literacy and information evaluation

Media literacy provides adolescents with resources for evaluating the credibility, purpose and context of communication.

Kahne and Bowyer[26] examine the educational challenges associated with misinformation and motivated reasoning. Their research demonstrates the relevance of educational experiences to young people's evaluation of political information.

This approach extends beyond teaching adolescents to identify inaccurate claims. Effective media literacy includes examining evidence, understanding persuasive techniques, recognizing uncertainty and evaluating competing explanations.

Guess and colleagues[27] investigated digital media literacy interventions in the United States and India. The study identified improvements in distinguishing mainstream from false news following an intervention. These findings concern broader populations and should not be interpreted as direct evidence of improved adolescent mental health.

The potential health-related contribution of media literacy requires further testing. Adolescents who evaluate political information confidently may experience less uncertainty or feel greater control over their digital environment. However, this remains a proposed protective mechanism.

Media literacy education should remain politically neutral. Its purpose is to strengthen independent reasoning rather than promote particular political preferences.

6.3 Parental mediation and supportive communication

Parental mediation refers to the ways families guide, discuss and regulate children's media experiences.

Livingstone and colleagues[28] examined parental mediation in relation to children's online opportunities and risks. Their research emphasizes the importance of balancing protection with access to beneficial digital experiences.

Restrictive strategies may limit some forms of exposure, but restrictions alone do not necessarily develop adolescents' independent evaluation skills.

Active mediation involves discussing online content, explaining uncertainty and encouraging adolescents to communicate concerns. Such practices may be particularly useful when political information relates to distressing events or interpersonal conflict.

Parents and caregivers should avoid interpreting every political disagreement as a psychological problem. Supportive discussion requires respect for developing autonomy and recognition that adolescents may hold views different from those of adults.

6.4 Responsible digital platform design

Platform design influences how adolescents encounter political information and interpersonal feedback.

Nesi[29] examines the risks and opportunities associated with social media use and youth mental health. The analysis highlights the need to examine specific digital experiences rather than treating platforms as uniform environments.

Features such as recommendations, notifications, sharing mechanisms and public feedback can influence patterns of exposure. Their psychological implications depend on interactions between technological arrangements and individual behaviour.

Potential protective approaches include accessible reporting procedures, age-appropriate safety settings, transparent recommendation controls and friction before sharing questionable information.

Such measures require evaluation. Their effectiveness in reducing adolescent psychological distress cannot be presumed from technological design alone.

Platform interventions should also preserve legitimate access to information and opportunities for civic expression. Safety and participation should be considered complementary objectives.

7. An Integrated Conceptual Framework

The literature supports a conceptual distinction between exposure, psychological mechanisms, susceptibility and outcomes.

The proposed Content-Sensitive Political Exposure and Adolescent Wellbeing Framework organizes these relationships into five interconnected components.

7.1 Exposure characteristics

The first component concerns what adolescents encounter online. Relevant dimensions include political news, educational information, misinformation, hostile exchanges, crisis-related imagery and civic participation opportunities. Exposure should be measured according to content type, frequency, emotional intensity, personal relevance and whether it was deliberately selected or encountered incidentally.

7.2 Cognitive and emotional appraisal

The second component concerns interpretation. Adolescents may evaluate political information according to perceived credibility, relevance, fairness, threat or uncertainty.

Emotional responses may include interest, anger, concern, hope or distress. These reactions are not inherently pathological. Their duration, intensity and relationship with everyday functioning determine their potential clinical relevance.

7.3 Social mediation

The third component concerns interpersonal and institutional circumstances.

Family discussion, peer relationships, school experiences and community membership may influence the interpretation of political content. Supportive relationships can provide contextual explanations and opportunities to discuss difficult experiences.

Conversely, interpersonal rejection or sustained harassment may intensify distress.

7.4 Differential susceptibility

The fourth component recognizes individual differences involving developmental stage, existing psychological symptoms, personal experiences and social resources.

This component draws on developmental vulnerability research and differential susceptibility theory.[5,7,13]

Political communication should therefore be understood as an exposure interacting with existing circumstances, rather than as an independent explanation for adolescent mental illness.

7.5 Psychological and developmental outcomes

The final component distinguishes adverse psychological outcomes from constructive developmental outcomes.

Potential adverse outcomes include persistent anxiety, depressive symptoms, disrupted sleep and interpersonal withdrawal. Constructive outcomes may include civic knowledge, social belonging, communication competence and perceived agency.

These outcomes must be measured independently. Increased political engagement does not necessarily establish improved mental health, just as temporary political concern does not establish psychological disorder.

The framework also recognizes feedback relationships. Existing psychological conditions may influence content selection and patterns of engagement. These activities may subsequently alter exposure, social experiences and emotional responses.

Table 2. Proposed Content-Sensitive Political Exposure and Adolescent Wellbeing Framework

Framework component	Dimensions	Proposed research indicators
Political content exposure	News, misinformation, hostile discourse, civic information	Frequency, emotional intensity, source credibility
Cognitive appraisal	Relevance, uncertainty, perceived credibility and threat	Information confidence, threat perception
Emotional response	Concern, anger, hope, fear and distress	Emotional intensity, duration and regulation

Framework component	Dimensions	Proposed research indicators
Social mediation	Family, peers, school and online communities	Social support, harassment and discussion quality
Developmental susceptibility	Age, psychological vulnerability and personal circumstances	Baseline symptoms, developmental characteristics
Adverse outcomes	Psychological distress and impaired functioning	Depression, anxiety, sleep disruption and withdrawal
Constructive outcomes	Civic learning and perceived agency	Civic efficacy, belonging and communication competence
Protective interventions	Literacy, parental mediation and platform safeguards	Verification skills, supportive communication and exposure controls

8. Discussion

8.1 Moving beyond general exposure measures

The central limitation of much digital mental health research concerns the conceptual distance between measured exposure and proposed psychological mechanisms.

Time-based measurements identify how long adolescents engage with digital platforms but provide limited information concerning their experiences.

A content-sensitive approach offers greater explanatory precision. It distinguishes information seeking, interpersonal communication, civic expression and encounters with hostile material.

This distinction is particularly relevant to political communication because public issues often involve emotional, social and identity-related meanings.

The existing literature supports modest population-level associations between social media use and internalizing symptoms.[1-4] It also identifies substantial individual variation.[13] These findings suggest that political content should be examined through specific experiences and developmental circumstances.

Researchers should avoid treating the presence of political material as evidence of harm. The relevant question is whether particular characteristics of political communication contribute to psychological outcomes under identifiable conditions.

8.2 Implications for adolescent healthcare

Healthcare professionals may benefit from incorporating digital experiences into routine psychosocial assessments.

Assessment could include questions concerning unwanted online interactions, distressing news, nighttime information consumption and experiences of harassment.

Such discussions should distinguish normative political interest from symptoms requiring clinical attention. Adolescents may express strong emotions concerning public affairs without experiencing a mental disorder.

Clinical concern becomes more relevant when symptoms persist, interfere with sleep or education, impair social functioning or accompany other established indicators of psychological distress.

The proposed approach encourages communication between healthcare professionals, families and educational institutions. It does not recommend political withdrawal as a therapeutic intervention.

8.3 Educational implications

Schools can support healthy political engagement through media literacy, respectful discussion and opportunities for evidence-based inquiry.

Educational activities should teach students how to distinguish factual claims from interpretations, identify credible sources and recognize misleading communication techniques.

Schools should also establish clear procedures for addressing online harassment associated with political disagreement. These procedures should protect students without discouraging legitimate discussion.

Political education and mental health promotion can intersect through communication skills, emotional regulation and constructive conflict resolution.

Educational success should be evaluated through information literacy, psychological safety, interpersonal respect and independent reasoning rather than agreement with particular political positions.

8.4 Cross-cultural relevance

Digital political communication occurs within different linguistic, cultural and institutional settings. Findings derived primarily from Western populations may not adequately represent adolescents in other environments.

Odgers and colleagues[30] emphasize the continuing need to refine research and public understanding of young people's digital experiences.

In India, research should consider regional languages, household media practices, educational opportunities, socioeconomic differences and access to digital infrastructure. Informational environments may vary substantially across rural and urban communities.

Political content may also circulate through private messaging and regional communication networks, which require different methodological approaches from research focusing on publicly available social media posts.

These considerations are research priorities rather than established explanations for Indian adolescent mental health outcomes.

Cross-cultural studies should examine comparable psychological measures while accounting for local communication practices and social circumstances.

8.5 Research priorities and limitations

The most significant research gap concerns the limited direct evidence connecting specific political social media experiences with adolescent mental health.

Future investigations should employ longitudinal designs, repeated psychological assessments and more precise exposure measures.

Researchers should distinguish political information seeking from incidental exposure, constructive participation from harassment, and ordinary emotional concern from clinically significant symptoms.

Studies should consider pre-existing mental health conditions, family communication, socioeconomic circumstances, offline relationships and individual political interest.

Where ethically appropriate, researchers could combine self-reported experiences with consent-based digital activity measures. Such approaches may improve exposure assessment while requiring careful privacy protections.

The present review has several limitations. Its narrative design does not provide an exhaustive synthesis of all eligible research. No pooled effect estimate or formal risk-of-bias assessment was generated.

Several communication studies involve adults or broader youth populations. Their relevance to adolescent mental health remains indirect.

The proposed conceptual framework therefore represents a research agenda requiring empirical evaluation. It should not be interpreted as a validated clinical model.

9. Conclusion

Social media represents an important environment for adolescent communication, identity exploration and participation in public life. Existing research identifies associations between digital media practices and psychological outcomes, but these relationships vary across individuals, activities and circumstances.

Political communication introduces distinctive informational and emotional experiences that warrant attention within adolescent health research. Hostile exchanges, misinformation, targeted harassment and repeated encounters with threatening content represent plausible pathways through which political exposure may contribute to psychological distress.

The available evidence does not establish that political social media exposure independently causes adolescent depression, anxiety or other mental disorders. Much of the relevant research concerning political hostility and misinformation involves adult populations or measures communication outcomes rather than psychological health.

Constructive political engagement may provide opportunities for learning, social connection and meaningful participation. These possibilities require recognition within adolescent health interventions.

A content-sensitive approach offers a more precise alternative to explanations based exclusively on screen time. It directs attention towards the character of exposure, individual susceptibility, interpersonal circumstances and psychological interpretation.

Media literacy, parental communication, supportive educational practices and responsible digital platform design represent potential protective approaches. Their direct effects on adolescent mental health in political communication

environments require further investigation.

Future research should establish whether specific political content characteristics predict psychological outcomes after accounting for existing vulnerabilities and social influences. Longitudinal and cross-cultural investigations can contribute to stronger explanations of these relationships.

Adolescent healthcare and communication education should share the objective of supporting informed, autonomous and psychologically healthy participation in public life. Protecting adolescent wellbeing should remain compatible with young people's access to information, freedom of expression and opportunities for constructive civic engagement.

References

1. Odgers CL, Jensen MR. Annual Research Review: Adolescent mental health in the digital age: facts, fears, and future directions. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2020;61(3):336-348. doi:10.1111/jcpp.13190.
2. Valkenburg PM, Meier A, Beyens I. Social media use and its impact on adolescent mental health: an umbrella review of the evidence. Curr Opin Psychol. 2022;44:58-68. doi:10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.08.017.
3. Fassi L, Thomas K, Parry DA, Leyland-Craggs A, Ford TJ, Orben A. Social media use and internalizing symptoms in clinical and community adolescent samples: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2024;178(8):814-822. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.2078.
4. Sala A, Porcaro L, Gómez E. Social media use and adolescents' mental health and well-being: an umbrella review. Comput Hum Behav Rep. 2024;14:100404. doi:10.1016/j.chbr.2024.100404.
5. Orben A, Meier A, Dalgleish T, Blakemore SJ. Mechanisms linking social media use to adolescent mental health vulnerability. Nat Rev Psychol. 2024;3:407-423. doi:10.1038/s44159-024-00307-y.
6. Crone EA, Konijn EA. Media use and brain development during adolescence. Nat Commun. 2018;9:588. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03126-x.
7. Valkenburg PM, Peter J. The differential susceptibility to media effects model. J Commun. 2013;63(2):221-243. doi:10.1111/jcom.12024.
8. Keles B, McCrae N, Grealish A. A systematic review: the influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. Int J Adolesc Youth. 2020;25(1):79-93. doi:10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851.
9. Kelly Y, Zilanawala A, Booker C, Sacker A. Social media use and adolescent mental health: findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. EClinicalMedicine. 2018;6:59-68. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.005.
10. Riehm KE, Feder KA, Tormohlen KN, Crum RM, Young AS, Green KM, et al. Associations between time spent using social media and internalizing and externalizing problems among US youth. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76(12):1266-1273. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2325.
11. Boers E, Afzali MH, Newton N, Conrod P. Association of screen time and depression in adolescence. JAMA Pediatr. 2019;173(9):853-859. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.1759.
12. Orben A, Przybylski AK. The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. Nat Hum Behav. 2019;3:173-182. doi:10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1.
13. Beyens I, Pouwels JL, van Driel II, Keijsers L, Valkenburg PM. The effect of social media on well-being differs from adolescent to adolescent. Sci Rep. 2020;10:10763. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-67727-7.
14. Boulianne S, Theocharis Y. Young people, digital media, and engagement: a meta-analysis of research. Soc Sci Comput Rev. 2020;38(2):111-127. doi:10.1177/0894439318814190.
15. Kahne J, Hodgin E, Eidman-Aadahl E. Redesigning civic education for the digital age: participatory politics and the pursuit of democratic engagement. Theory Res Soc Educ. 2016;44(1):1-35. doi:10.1080/00933104.2015.1132646.
16. Ballard PJ, Hoyt LT, Pachucki MC. Impacts of adolescent and young adult civic engagement on health and socioeconomic status in adulthood. Child Dev. 2019;90(4):1138-1154. doi:10.1111/cdev.12998.
17. Iyengar S, Lelkes Y, Levendusky M, Malhotra N, Westwood SJ. The origins and consequences of affective polarization in the United States. Annu Rev Polit Sci. 2019;22:129-146. doi:10.1146/annurev-polisci-051117-073034.
18. Brady WJ, Wills JA, Jost JT, Tucker JA, Van Bavel JJ. Emotion shapes the diffusion of moralized content in social networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(28):7313-7318. doi:10.1073/pnas.1618923114.
19. Vosoughi S, Roy D, Aral S. The spread of true and false news online. Science. 2018;359(6380):1146-1151. doi:10.1126/science.aap9559.
20. Pennycook G, Rand DG. The psychology of fake news. Trends Cogn Sci. 2021;25(5):388-402. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2021.02.007.

21. Holman EA, Garfin DR, Silver RC. Media's role in broadcasting acute stress following the Boston Marathon bombings. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(1):93-98. doi:10.1073/pnas.1316265110.
22. Thompson RR, Jones NM, Holman EA, Silver RC. Media exposure to mass violence events can fuel a cycle of distress. Sci Adv. 2019;5(4):eaav3502. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav3502.
23. Kowalski RM, Giumetti GW, Schroeder AN, Lattanner MR. Bullying in the digital age: a critical review and meta-analysis of cyberbullying research among youth. Psychol Bull. 2014;140(4):1073-1137. doi:10.1037/a0035618.
24. John A, Glendenning AC, Marchant A, Montgomery P, Stewart A, Wood S, et al. Self-harm, suicidal behaviours, and cyberbullying in children and young people: systematic review. J Med Internet Res. 2018;20(4):e129. doi:10.2196/jmir.9044.
25. Woods HC, Scott H. #Sleepyteens: social media use in adolescence is associated with poor sleep quality, anxiety, depression and low self-esteem. J Adolesc. 2016;51:41-49. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.05.008.
26. Kahne J, Bowyer B. Educating for democracy in a partisan age: confronting the challenges of motivated reasoning and misinformation. Am Educ Res J. 2017;54(1):3-34. doi:10.3102/0002831216679817.
27. Guess AM, Lerner M, Lyons B, Montgomery JM, Nyhan B, Reifler J, et al. A digital media literacy intervention increases discernment between mainstream and false news in the United States and India. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(27):15536-15545. doi:10.1073/pnas.1920498117.
28. Livingstone S, Ólafsson K, Helsper EJ, Lupiáñez-Villanueva F, Veltri GA, Folkvord F. Maximizing opportunities and minimizing risks for children online: the role of digital skills in emerging strategies of parental mediation. J Commun. 2017;67(1):82-105. doi:10.1111/jcom.12277.
29. Nesi J. The impact of social media on youth mental health: challenges and opportunities. N C Med J. 2020;81(2):116-121. doi:10.18043/ncm.81.2.116.
30. Odgers CL, Schueller SM, Ito M. Screen time, social media use, and adolescent development. Annu Rev Dev Psychol. 2020;2:485-502. doi:10.1146/annurev-devpsych-121318-084815.